## BEFORE THE 1 CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION 2 3 CGCC Case No. GCCC-2018-0524-8F In the Matter of the Application for Approval BGC Case No. BGC-HQ2018-00035SL 4 of Gambling Establishment Key Employee License Regarding: 5 TAWNI SUMMER VARGAS **DECISION AND ORDER** 6 7 Hearing Dates: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 10:00 a.m. Applicant. Time: 8 9 This matter was heard by the California Gambling Control Commission (Commission) 10 pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, California 11 Code of Regulations (CCR) section 12060, in Sacramento, California, on Wednesday, January 30, 12 2019. 13 Deputy Attorney General James Waian (Waian), Department of Justice, Attorney 14 General's Office, State of California, represented complainant Stephanie Shimazu, Jr., Chief of 15 the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau), Department of Justice, State of California 16 (Complainant). 17 Applicant Tawni Summer Vargas (Applicant) did not appear and no one appeared on her 18 behalf. 19 During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Kate Patterson took official notice of 20 the Conclusion of Prehearing Conference Letter, Applicant's signed Notice of Defense, the 21 Notice of Hearing which enclosed Applicant's State Gambling Application and the Bureau's 22 Report, and Commission's Referral of Application Letter. 23 During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Kate Patterson accepted into 24 evidence the following exhibits offered by the Complainant: 25 (1) December 3, 2018 Cover Letter from the California Department of Justice 26 to Tawni Summer Vargas; Statement to Respondent; Statement of 27 Reasons; August 22, 2018 Notice of Hearing (without enclosures); copies 28

1		of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 19870 & 19871; copy of Cal. Code. Regs., tit. 4, §
2		12060; and December 3, 2018 Certificate of Service by Certified Mail.,
3		Bates Nos. 001-043;
4	(2)	February 12, 2016 Application for Key Employee License, for Tawni
5		Summer Vargas (including Supplemental Background Investigation
6		Information form), Bates Nos. 0044-0063.
7	(3)	March 9, 2018 Cardroom Key Employee Background Investigation Report,
8		Level III, Bureau of Gambling Control (with attachments)., Bates Nos.
9		064-100;
10	(4)	Notices and Documents from the California Gambling Control
11		Commission:
12		a. March 26, 2018 Notice of Cancellation of Interim Portable Personal Key Employee License for Tawni Vargas, Bates Nos. 0101-0104;
13		b. May 24, 2016 Interim Key Employee License notification for Tawni Vargas, Bates Nos. 0105;
14 15		c. May 11, 2018 Notification of Scheduled Commission Meeting (GEKE-002174) regarding Tawni Vargas, Bates Nos. 0106
16		d. May 24, 2018 Commission Licensing Division Memorandum (without attachments), Bates Nos. Bates Nos. 0107-116;
17 18		e. May 25, 2018 Referral of Initial Key Employee Application to an Evidentiary Hearing for Tawni Vargas. Bates Nos. 0117-0118;
19	(5)	Correspondence regarding and between the Bureau of Gambling Control
20		and Tawni Vargas., Bates Nos. 0119-0141;
21	(6)	May 29, 2018 Executed Notice of Defense form for Tawni Vargas, Bates
22		Nos. 0142-0143;
23	(7)	License renewal information regarding Poker Flats Casino, Bates No.
24		0144-0179;
25	(8)	Letters of warning and notices of violation regarding Poker Flats Casino,
26		Bates No. 180-0219;
27	(9)	Commission Decisions and Orders regarding Poker Flats Casino license
28		2
		,

1	renewals, Bates Nos. 0220-0251;		
2	(10) Evidence submitted by Poker Flats Casino at June 12, 2018 evidentiary		
3	hearing, Bates Nos. 0252-0255;		
4	The matter was submitted on January 30, 2019.		
5	FINDINGS OF FACT		
6	1. On or about February 12, 2016, the Bureau received an Application for Gambling		
7	Establishment Key Employee License (Application) from Applicant.		
8	2. On or about May 24, 2016, Applicant was issued an interim key employee license,		
9	valid until May 31, 2018.		
10	3. On or about March 9, 2018, the Bureau submitted a Cardroom Key Employee		
11	Background Investigation Report (Bureau Report) to the Commission recommending the		
12	Commission deny Applicant's Application.		
13	4. On or about March 26, 2018, the Commission cancelled Applicant's interim key		
14	employee license under CCR section 12354(e)(5) based on the Bureau's recommendation of		
15	denial for Applicant's application.		
16	5. On or about May 24, 2018, the Commission referred Applicant's Application to an		
17	evidentiary hearing, pursuant to CCR section 12054, subdivision (a)(2) to be held pursuant to		
18	CCR section 12060 with the Bureau to serve as Complainant. This was confirmed in an		
19	evidentiary hearing referral letter sent on May 25, 2018 by Commission staff to Applicant.		
20	6. On or about May 29, 2018, Applicant submitted a signed Notice of Defense, dated		
21	May 25, 2018, wherein she requested an evidentiary hearing.		
22	7. On or about August 22, 2018, the Commission sent a Notice of Hearing and		
23	Prehearing Conference, via US mail, to Applicant and Deputy Attorney General William		
24	Williams (Williams).		
25	8. On or about November 30, 2018, the Complainant provided the Applicant a Statement		
26	of Reasons. In its Statement of Reasons, Complainant recommended that the Commission deny		
27	Applicant's Application.		
28			

- 9. On or about December 11, 2018, the noticed prehearing conference was held before Presiding Officer Kate Patterson. Williams attended on behalf of the Complainant. Applicant did not appear and no one appeared on her behalf.
- 10. On or about December 14, 2018, the Commission sent a Conclusion of Prehearing Conference letter to Applicant and Williams.
- 11. The Commission heard CGCC Case No. CGCC-2018-0525-9 on January 9, 2018. The Complainant was represented throughout the hearing by Deputy Attorney General Waian who substituted in on Williams's behalf. Applicant did not appear and no one appeared on her behalf.
  - 12. The matter was submitted for Commission consideration on January 30, 2019.

## **LEGAL CONCLUSIONS**

- 13. An application to receive a license constitutes a request for a determination of the applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate in, engage in, or be associated with, controlled gambling. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19856, subd. (b).)
- 14. At an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, CCR section 12060 the burden of proof rests with the applicant to demonstrate why a license or other approval should be issued. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12060, subd. (i); Bus. & Prof. Code § 19856, subd. (a).)
- 15. Public trust and confidence can only be maintained by strict and comprehensive regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations, and activities related to the operation of lawful gambling establishments and the manufacture and distribution of permissible gambling equipment. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19801, subd. (h).)
- 16. The Commission has the responsibility of assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not issued to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19823, subd. (a)(1).)
- 17. An "unqualified person" means a person who is found to be unqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19857, and "disqualified person"

means a person who is found to be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19859. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19823, subd. (b).)

- 18. The Commission has the power to deny any application for a license, permit, or approval for any cause deemed reasonable by the Commission. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19824, subd. (b).)
- 19. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person of good character, honesty and integrity. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19857, subd. (a).)
- 20. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19857, subd. (b).)
- 21. The commission shall deny a license to any applicant who is disqualified for failure of the applicant to provide information, documentation, and assurances required by this chapter or requested by the chief, or failure of the applicant to reveal any fact material to qualification, or the supplying of information that is untrue or misleading as to a material fact pertaining to the qualification criteria. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19859, subd. (b).)
- 22. Therefore, as the Applicant initially returned a Notice of Defense form but did not attend the evidentiary hearing, and did not submit any information or evidence in favor of granting Applicant's Application, Applicant did not meet Applicant's burden of demonstrating why a license should be issued pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19856(a) and Title 4, CCR section 12060(i).

26 ///

///

## 1 NOTICE OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL RIGHTS 2 Applicant has the following appeal rights available under state law: 3 CCR section 12064, subsections (a) and (b) provide, in part: 4 An applicant denied a license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability, or whose license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability has had 5 conditions, restrictions, or limitations imposed upon it, may request reconsideration by the Commission within 30 calendar days of service of 6 the decision, or before the effective date specified in the decision, whichever is later. The request shall be made in writing to the 7 Commission, copied to the Bureau, and shall state the reasons for the request, which must be based upon either newly discovered evidence or 8 legal authorities that could not reasonably have been presented before the Commission's issuance of the decision or at the hearing on the matter, or 9 upon other good cause which the Commission may decide, in its sole discretion, merits reconsideration. 10 Business and Professions Code section 19870, subdivision (e) provides: 11 A decision of the commission denying a license or approval, or imposing 12 any condition or restriction on the grant of a license or approval may be reviewed by petition pursuant to Section 1085 of the Code of Civil 13 Procedure. Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall not apply to any judicial proceeding described in the foregoing sentence, and the 14 court may grant the petition only if the court finds that the action of the commission was arbitrary and capricious, or that the action exceeded the 15 commission's jurisdiction. 16 CCR section 12066, subsection (c) provides: 17 A decision of the Commission denying an application or imposing conditions on license shall be subject to judicial review as provided in 18 Business and Professions Code section 19870, subdivision (e). Neither the 19 right to petition for judicial review nor the time for filing the petition shall be affected by failure to seek reconsideration. 20 /// /// 21 /// 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## **ORDER** 1. TAWNI SUMMER VARGAS' Application for Approval of Initial Key Employee License is DENIED. 2. No costs are to be awarded. 3. Each side to pay its own attorneys' fees. This Order is effective on Signature: Signature: Paula LaBrie, Commissioner Signature: Gareth Lacy, Commissioner Signature: Trang To, Commissioner