1 **BEFORE THE** 2 CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION 3 CGCC Case No. GCCC-2018-0208-5D 4 In the Matter of the Application for Third-Party Proposition Player Services Player License for: 5 **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER** CLAUDIA CARMONA 6 Registration No. 016124 7 Applicant. Hearing Date: August 30, 2018 1:30 p.m. Time: 8 9 1. This matter was scheduled for hearing before the California Gambling Control 10 Commission (Commission) pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 11 and Title 4, California Code of Regulations section 12060, in Sacramento, California, on August 12 30, 2018. 13 2. The Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau) was represented by Deputy Attorney 14 General Ronald Diedrich with the Indian and Gaming Law Section, Department of Justice 15 Attorney General's Office. 16 3. Claudia Carmona (Applicant) failed to appear and was not represented at the 17 hearing. 18 During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Jason Pope took official 4. 19 notice of the following: 20 Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference with enclosures including (a) 21 Applicant's Application and the Bureau Report, dated April 12, 2018, served by certified 22 mail, return receipt requested; 23 (b) Applicant's Notice of Defense; 24 (c) Bureau's Statement of Reasons; 25 (d) Conclusion of Prehearing Conference letter dated July 25, 2018. 26 5. During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Jason Pope accepted into 27 evidence the following exhibits offered by the Bureau: 28

Vehicle's records regarding Claudia Lucero Carmona, Bates Nos. 101-102.

6. The matter was submitted on August 30, 2018

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 7. On or about November 13, 2015, the Bureau received an application for Third-Party Proposition Player Services License for Supervisor, Player or Other Employee, dated November 7, 2015, and a Level I Supplemental Information forms, dated October 25, 2015 (collectively, Application) from Applicant to allow for her employment as a third-party proposition player for Qualified Player Services, LLC.
- 8. On or about October 16, 2015, The Commission issued Applicant a registration, number TPPL-016124, as a proposition player. Applicant's registration currently expires on October 13, 2019.
- 9. On or about December 27, 2017, the Bureau submitted a Third Party Player Background Investigation Report in which it concluded that Applicant was unqualified for licensure pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857 and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12218.11(e). The Bureau recommended that the Commission deny Applicant's application.
- 10. At the February 8, 2018 Commission meeting, the Commission referred consideration of Applicant's Application to an evidentiary hearing pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12060.
- 11. Commission staff received a signed Notice of Defense form from Applicant on February 28, 2018 requesting an evidentiary hearing signed February 26, 2018. (Exhibit A)
- 12. Commission staff mailed a Notice of the Hearing sent certified mail on April 12, 2018 to Applicant's address of record which included Exhibit A and stated that the hearing was set to occur on August 30, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. Commission staff received a confirmation receipt showing the letter was received by Juan Carmona at Applicant's address on April 14, 2018.
- 13. On July 24, 2018, Jason Pope, Presiding Officer for the forthcoming hearing, conducted a pre-hearing conference with Deputy Attorney General Ronald Diedrich in

1	attendance. Applicant was not present and no one appeared on her behalf. On July 25, 2018, a
2	letter confirming this conference was mailed out to the parties.
3	14. At the August 30, 2018 hearing, Applicant was not present, and submitted no
4	evidence on behalf of her application.
5	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>
6	15. An application to receive a license constitutes a request for a determination of the
7	applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate in, engage in, or be associated
8	with, controlled gambling. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19856, subd. (b).)
9	16. In addition, the burden of proving Applicant's qualifications to receive any license
10	from the Commission is on the applicant. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19856, subd. (a).)
11	17. At an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections
12	19870 and 19871 and Title 4, CCR section 12060 the burden of proof rests with the applicant to
13	demonstrate why a license or other approval should be issued. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12060,
14	subd. (i).)
15	18. Title 4, CCR section 12052, subdivision (c), provides in pertinent part:
16	(c) An applicant for any license, permit, finding of suitability,
17	renewal, or other approval shall be given notice of the meeting at which the application is scheduled to be heard. Notice shall be given
18	pursuant to Section 12006.  * * *
19	
20	(2) If the application is to be scheduled at an evidentiary hearing, pursuant to subsections (a) or (b) of Section 12060, the
21	notice of hearing shall inform the applicant of the following:
22	* * *
23	(F) The waiver of an evidentiary hearing, or failure of the applicant to submit a Notice of Defense, or failure of an applicant
24	to appear at an evidentiary hearing, may result in:
25	1. A default decision being issued by the Commission based upon the Bureau report, any supplemental reports
26	by the Bureau and any other documents or testimony already provided or which might be provided to the Commission
27	///
28	

the Code of Civil Procedure shall not apply to any judicial proceeding described in the foregoing sentence, and the court may grant the petition only if the court finds that the action of the commission was arbitrary and capricious, or that the action exceeded the commission's jurisdiction. Title 4, CCR section 12066, subsection (c) provides: A decision of the Commission denying an application or imposing conditions on a license shall be subject to judicial review as provided in Business and Professions Code section 19870, subdivision (e). Neither the right to petition for judicial review nor the time for filing the petition shall be affected by failure to seek reconsideration. /// /// /// 

Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2018-0208-5D