BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application for Approval

of Third-Party Player Services License for Supervisor, Player or Other Employee

AARON ANTONIO ROBLES

2 3

1

4

5 6

Regarding:

Applicant.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

18

19

17

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

BGC Case No. BGC-HQ2019-00037SL CGCC Case No. CGCC-2019-1024-11B

DECISION AND ORDER

Hearing Date: August 28, 2020

10:00 a.m. Time:

This matter was heard by the California Gambling Control Commission (Commission) pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 12060(b), in Sacramento, California, on August 28, 2020.

Colin Wood, Deputy Attorney General, State of California, represented complainant Stephanie K. Shimazu, Director of the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau), Department of Justice, State of California.

Applicant Aaron Antonio Robles was present at the hearing on his own behalf without representation.

During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Jason Pope took official notice of the Commission's Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference letter with attachments, the Bureau's Report, the Bureau's Statement of Reasons, Applicant's signed Notice of Defense, the Commission's Continued Notice of Hearing, and the Commission's Conclusion of Prehearing Conference letter.

During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Jason Pope accepted into evidence the following exhibits offered by the Bureau:

- (1) Statement to Applicant; Statement of Reasons; Excerpts from the California Business and Professions Code and California Code of Regulations; Declaration of Service, Notice of Defense dated November 14, 2019, Bates Nos. 001-027;
 - (2) Procedural documents, notices and letters:

(11) Criminal records from fingerprint submission of Aaron Antonio Robles dated April 5, 2017, Bates Nos. 144-146.

The matter was submitted on August 28, 2020.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- In approximately March 2017, Applicant began working as a third-party proposition player for Knighted Ventures, LLC (Knighted), a third-party provider of proposition player services.
- 2. On April 13, 2017, the Commission issued Applicant registration number TPPL-019508 to allow for his work as a third-party proposition player for Knighted.
- 3. On August 28, 2017, the Commission approved Applicant's application to convert his Registration, number TPPL-019508 to a Third-Party Proposition Player Services License for Supervisor, Player or Other Employee.
- 4. On or about June 3, 2019, the Bureau received a renewal application for Third-Party Proposition Player Services License for Supervisor, Player or Other Employee, signed on May 22, 2019 to allow for his continued employment as a third-party proposition player for Knighted. The Initial Application was missing certain information and, after the Bureau requested the missing information, Applicant submitted a resubmitted application (Application) that was signed on June 10, 2019.
- 5. On or about August 8, 2019, the Bureau submitted to the Commission a Third-Party Player Background Investigation Report, recommending that the Application be denied on the basis that Applicant has six misdemeanor convictions stemming from four incidents of driving under the influence of alcohol (DUI).
- 6. At its October 24, 2019 meeting, the Commission referred consideration of Applicant's Application to an evidentiary hearing to be held pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12060. The Commission issued Applicant an Interim Renewal License pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12035, subdivision (a)(3) valid through August 31, 2021.

- 7. The evidentiary hearing on Applicant's renewal Application proceeded on August 28, 2020. At the hearing, evidence was admitted establishing that Applicant was convicted of misdemeanor driving under the influence (DUI) on September 15, 2003, April 13, 2006, November 12, 2010, and April 6, 2018.
- 8. Applicant testified at the hearing that after the November 12, 2010 DUI conviction, he began treatment for alcohol abuse. However, Applicant ultimately relapsed after having back surgery and taking pain medication and in July 2017 he was arrested for DUI, which led to his April 2018 conviction. Applicant testified that he realizes now that he cannot take mind altering medications and that he no longer takes such substances.
- 9. Applicant testified regarding his relapse and subsequent DUI arrest in July 2017. He testified to taking sobriety very seriously and has not had a drink since January of 2018. Applicant still speaks with the counselor from his court ordered class and is in daily contact with a support system through his AA program. Applicant testified that he moved out of a house that was heavily influenced by alcohol. In addition to the aforementioned positive steps, Applicant testified that when he completes his court ordered DUI class in October, he intends to continue participating in Alcoholics Anonymous (AA).
- 10. Applicant began attending AA meetings in January 2018. When in-person AA classes were cancelled due to COVID-19, Applicant began attending daily classes through Zoom
- 11. At the hearing, a letter by Teresa Arellano, Program Coordinator for Ia'Tik Recovery Center dated August 7, 2019 was admitted into evidence. In the letter, Ms. Arellano states that Applicant has been enrolled in a DUI program since April 19, 2018 and has good attendance and has been an active participant in the Recovery Center's groups. Ms. Arellano wrote that Applicant is current with his payments and takes responsibility for his actions. Ms. Arellano also confirmed that Applicant stopped drinking and stated that as his counselor, she has witnessed a great deal of personal growth since Applicant enrolled in the program.
- 12. Applicant has worked for Knighted Ventures for almost three years providing proposition player services at Napa Valley Casino. No evidence was admitted to indicate that

Applicant has been unsuccessful in his employment in the industry or has faced any derogatory employment action during this time.

- 13. Applicant's testimony was credible, candid, and honest. Applicant acknowledges that he has a problem and is actively working to maintain sobriety by not drinking or taking any mind altering substances, attending counseling sessions, and participating in AA. Applicant has made several changes that are more conducive to a sober lifestyle, including moving to a new residence. Further, the fact that Applicant has persisted with his sobriety through stressful events caused by COVID-19, such as being placed on furlough from work and cancellation of his inperson AA meetings, indicates to the Commission that Applicant is committed to sobriety.
- 14. Applicant has paid all fines and satisfied the court ordered requirements related to his first three DUI cases. However, Applicant is still completing a class and testified that he has a final payment to make relating to his April 6, 2018 DUI conviction. Applicant testified that he obtained an extension of time to make payments to avoid going to the courthouse due to his concern of exposure to COVID-19. Therefore, as a condition to licensure, Applicant must update the Bureau every 90 days on his progress in completing all court ordered requirements stemming from his April 2018 DUI conviction.
- 15. While testifying before the Commission, Applicant offered to comply with any conditions placed on his license, including undergoing regular drug and alcohol testing. Given the tremendous progress Applicant has made in achieving sobriety and the fact that the Commission will be able to review Applicant's progress when his license is up for renewal in two years, the Commission is satisfied that additional conditions are not necessary.
- 16. All documentary and testimonial evidence submitted by the parties that is not specifically addressed in this Decision and Order was considered but not used by the Commission in making its determination on Applicant's Application.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

17. Division 1.5 of the Business and Professions Code, the provisions of which govern the denial of licenses on various grounds, does not apply to licensure decisions made by the

Commission under the Gambling Control Act. (Business and Professions Code section 476(a).)

- 18. At an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, CCR section 12060(b), the burden of proof rests with the applicant to prove his qualifications to receive any license under the Gambling Control Act. (Title 4, CCR section 12060(i); Business and Professions Code section 19856(a).)
- 19. Public trust and confidence can only be maintained by strict and comprehensive regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations, and activities related to the operation of lawful gambling establishments and the manufacture and distribution of permissible gambling equipment. (Business and Professions Code section 19801(h).)
- 20. An application to receive a license constitutes a request for a determination of the applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate in, engage in, or be associated with, controlled gambling. (Business and Professions Code section 19856(b).)
- 21. The Commission has the responsibility of assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not issued to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare. (Business and Professions Code section 19823(a)(1).)
- 22. An "unqualified person" means a person who is found to be unqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19857, and "disqualified person" means a person who is found to be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19859. (Business and Professions Code section 19823(b).)
- 23. The Commission has the power to limit, condition, or restrict any license for any cause deemed reasonable by the Commission. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 10982(b).)
- 24. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person of good character, honesty and integrity. (Business and Professions Code section 19857(a).)
- 25. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person whose prior

activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto. (Business and Professions Code section 19857(b).)

26. The commission shall deny a license to any applicant who is disqualified for failure of the applicant to provide information, documentation, and assurances required by this chapter or requested by the chief, or failure of the applicant to reveal any fact material to qualification, or the supplying of information that is untrue or misleading as to a material fact pertaining to the qualification criteria. (Business and Professions Code section 19859(b).)

27. A requester shall be ineligible for licensing as a third-party proposition player if the requester has failed to meet the requirements of Business and Professions Code sections 19856, 19857 or 19859, subdivisions (b), (e), or (f). (CCR section 12218.11, subdivisions (e) and (f).)

28. Applicant has met his burden of proving that he is a person of good character, honesty, and integrity. Therefore, Applicant is qualified to receive a third party proposition player license pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(a). As a result, Applicant is not ineligible to receive a third party proposition player license pursuant to CCR section 12218.11(e).

29. Applicant met his burden of proving that he is a person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto. Therefore, Applicant is qualified to receive a third party proposition player license pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(b). As a result, Applicant is not ineligible to receive a third party proposition player license pursuant to CCR section 12218.11(e).

30. Applicant has met his burden of proving that he is not disqualified from licensure pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19859 nor ineligible for licensing as a third

1	party proposition player pursuant to CCR section 12218.11.
2	NOTICE OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL RIGHTS
3	Applicant has the following appeal rights available under state law:
4	Title 4, CCR section 12064, subsections (a) and (b) provide, in part:
5	An applicant denied a license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability, or whose
6	or limitations imposed upon it, may request reconsideration by the Commission
7	NOTICE OF APPLICANT'S APPEAL RIGHTS icant has the following appeal rights available under state law: Title 4, CCR section 12064, subsections (a) and (b) provide, in part: An applicant denied a license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability, or whose license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability has had conditions, restrictions,
8	which must be based upon either newly discovered evidence or legal authorities that
9	decision or at the hearing on the matter, or upon other good cause which the
10	
11	
12	condition or restriction on the grant of a license or approval may be reviewed by
13	the Code of Civil Procedure shall not apply to any judicial proceeding described in
14	that the action of the commission was arbitrary and capricious, or that the action
15	·
16	
17	shall be subject to judicial review as provided in Business and Professions Code section
18	
19	
20	/// /// ///
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ORDER

- Aaron Antonio Robles' Application for a Third-Party Proposition Player Services
 License for Supervisor, Player or Other Employee is GRANTED with a condition that:
 - a. Every 90 days Applicant must update the Bureau on his progress in completing all court ordered requirements stemming from his April 6, 2018 DUI conviction.
- 2. No costs are to be awarded.
- 3. Each side to pay its own attorneys' fees.

This Order is effective on September 10, 2020.

Dated: 9 10 20 S	Signature: Jim Evans, Chairman
Dated: 9/10/20 S	Signature: Paul a LaBrie, Commissioner
Dated: 9 0 11 S	Gareth Lacy, Commissioner
Dated: 9/10/20 S	Signature: Trang To, Commissioner