

BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application for Approval  
of Initial Tribal-State Compact Key Employee  
Finding of Suitability Regarding:

EDGAR ARRIAGA

Applicant.

CGCC Case No. CGCC-2019-0711-10

**DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER**

Hearing Date: Thursday, December 19, 2019  
Time: 10:00 a.m.

1. This matter was scheduled for hearing before the California Gambling Control Commission (Commission) pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 12060, in Sacramento, California, on Thursday, December 19, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.

2. Edgar Arriaga (Applicant) failed to appear and was not represented at the hearing.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

3. On or about December 18, 2017, the Commission received an Application for a Finding of Suitability Tribal Key Employee from Applicant.

4. On or about October 11, 2018 the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau) issued its Tribal Key Employee Background Investigation Report in which it concluded that Applicant was qualified for licensure. The Bureau recommended that the Commission approve Applicant's application.

5. On or about July 11, 2019, the Commission considered Applicant's application and voted to refer the matter to an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Title 4, CCR section 12060, subdivision (b).

6. Applicant received notice of Commission consideration of Applicant's application in two ways. First, Commission staff mailed an evidentiary hearing referral letter via certified mail and regular mail to Applicant's address of record on July 19, 2019 which included a blank Notice of Defense form with instructions to return it to the Commission within 15 days of receipt

1 or else the Commission may issue a default decision. Commission staff received no response  
2 from Applicant including a Notice of Defense form or otherwise as of September 12, 2019.

3 (Exhibit A)

4 7. Second, Applicant further received notice of the hearing through a hearing notice  
5 sent certified mail on September 20, 2019 to Applicant's address of record which included  
6 Exhibit A and stated that the hearing was set to occur on Thursday, December 19, 2019 at 10:00  
7 a.m. Commission staff received no response.

8 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

9 8. An application to receive a license constitutes a request for a determination of the  
10 applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate in, engage in, or be associated  
11 with, controlled gambling. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19856, subd. (b).)

12 9. In addition, the burden of proving Applicant's qualifications to receive any license  
13 from the Commission is on the applicant. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19856, subd. (a).)

14 10. At an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections  
15 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, CCR section 12060 the burden of proof rests with the applicant to  
16 demonstrate why a license or other approval should be issued. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, § 12060,  
17 subd. (i).)

18 11. Title 4, CCR section 12052, subdivision (c), provides in pertinent part:

19 (c) An applicant for any license, permit, finding of suitability,  
20 renewal, or other approval shall be given notice of the meeting at which the  
21 application is scheduled to be heard. Notice shall be given pursuant to  
22 Section 12006.

22 \* \* \*

23 (2) If the application is to be scheduled at an evidentiary  
24 hearing, pursuant to subsections (a) or (b) of Section 12060, the notice  
25 of hearing shall inform the applicant of the following:

25 \* \* \*

26 (F) The waiver of an evidentiary hearing, or failure of  
27 the applicant to submit a Notice of Defense, or failure of an  
28 applicant to appear at an evidentiary hearing, may result in:

1. A default decision being issued by the

Commission based upon the Bureau report, any supplemental reports by the Bureau and any other documents or testimony already provided or which might be provided to the Commission . . . .

12. The Commission takes official notice of the Bureau report, any supplemental reports by the Bureau and any other documents or testimony already provided to it in this matter as required by Business and Professions Code section 19870, subdivision (a) and Title 4, CCR section 12052, subdivision (c)(2)(F)(1).

13. The Commission has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

14. The Commission may deny Applicant's application based upon the Bureau report, any supplemental reports by the Bureau and any other documents or testimony already provided to it, pursuant to CCR section 12052, subdivision (c)(2)(F)(1), and Business and Professions Code sections 19857 and 19859.

15. The Commission may further also deny Applicant's application based upon Applicant's failure to prove to the Commission Applicant is qualified to receive either a work permit or a key employee license, as required by Business and Profession Code section 19856(a) and Title 4, CCR section 12060, subdivision (i).

16. Therefore, as the Applicant failed to return a Notice of Defense form, did not attend the default hearing, and did not submit any information or evidence in favor of granting Applicant's Application, Applicant did not meet Applicant's burden of demonstrating why a finding of suitability should be issued pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19856(a) and Title 4, CCR section 12060(i). The Commission further finds that pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12052, subdivision (c)(2)(F)(1), Applicant's Application is subject to denial.

///

///

///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

NOTICE OF APPLICANT’S APPEAL RIGHTS

Applicant has the following appeal rights available under state law:

Title 4, CCR section 12064, subsection (a) and (b) provide, in part:

(a) After the Commission issues a decision following a GCA hearing conducted pursuant to Section 12060, an applicant denied a license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability, or whose license, permit, registration, or finding of suitability has had conditions, restrictions, or limitations imposed upon it, may request reconsideration by the Commission within 30 calendar days of service of the decision, or before the effective date specified in the decision, whichever is later.

(b) A request for reconsideration shall be made in writing to the Commission, copied to the Bureau, and shall state the reasons for the request, which must be based upon either:

- (1) Newly discovered evidence or legal authorities that could not reasonably have been presented before the Commission’s issuance of the decision or at the hearing on the matter; or,
- (2) Other good cause which the Commission may decide, in its sole discretion, merits reconsideration.

Business and Professions Code section 19870, subdivision (e) provides:

A decision of the commission denying a license or approval, or imposing any condition or restriction on the grant of a license or approval may be reviewed by petition pursuant to Section 1085 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure shall not apply to any judicial proceeding described in the foregoing sentence, and the court may grant the petition only if the court finds that the action of the commission was arbitrary and capricious, or that the action exceeded the commission's jurisdiction.

Title 4, CCR section 12066, subsection (c) provides:

A decision of the Commission denying an application or imposing conditions on a license shall be subject to judicial review as provided in Business and Professions Code section 19870, subdivision (e). Neither the right to petition for judicial review nor the time for filing the petition shall be affected by failure to seek reconsideration.

///  
///  
///


**ORDER**

1  
2 1. EDGAR ARRIAGA'S Application for Approval of Initial Tribal-State Compact  
3 Key Employee Finding of Suitability is DENIED.


4 2. EDGAR ARRIAGA may not apply to the Commission or the Bureau for any type  
5 of license, registration or work permit for one (1) year after the effective date of this Order.

6  
7 This Order is effective on December 19, 2019.


8  
9  
10 Dated: 12/19/19

Signature:   
Jim Evans, Chairman


11  
12  
13 Dated: 12/19/19

Signature:   
Paula LaBrie, Commissioner

14  
15  
16 Dated: 12/19/19

Signature:   
Garreth Lacy, Commissioner

17  
18  
19 Dated: 12/19/19

Signature:   
Trang To, Commissioner

## DECLARATION OF Katherine Varecha

I, Katherine Varecha, declare as follows:

I am employed by the California Gambling Control Commission as an analyst in the Commission's Licensing Division. Edgar Arriaga case has been assigned to me for processing.

On July 19, 2019, I mailed a Gambling Control Act hearing referral letter to Edgar Arriaga at [REDACTED] by regular mail and certified mail pursuant to California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 4, Division 18, Chapter 1, section 12006. This letter provided notice to Edgar Arriaga that consideration of his application was being referred to a Gambling Control Act hearing pursuant to CCR, Title 4, Division 18, Chapter 1, section 12060(a). This letter included a Notice of Defense form (CGCC-ND-002) with instructions to return the Notice of Defense to the Commission within 15 days of receipt in order to preserve their right to an administrative hearing.

Today is September 12, 2019 and I have not received the Notice of Defense form or any communication from Edgar Arriaga regarding a request for a Gambling Control Act hearing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on September 12, 2019 in Sacramento, California.



---

Katherine Varecha