1	BEFORI	ETHE
2	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION	
3		CGCC Case No. CGCC-2021-0624-12C
4	In the Matter of the Application for a Finding of Suitability, Tribal Key Employee	BGC Case No. BGC-HQ2021-00026SL
5	Regarding: MELVYN DOUGLAS MCGOWAN	DECISION AND ORDER
6	MELVIN DOUGLAS MCGOWAN	DECISION AND ORDER
7	Applicant.	Hearing Date: January 25, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m.
8		1 mie. 10.00 a.m.
9	This matter was heard by the California Ga	ambling Control Commission (Commission)
10	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	as 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, California
11	Code of Regulations (CCR) section 12060, and held via Zoom video conference, on January 25,	
12	2021.	
13	Colin A. Wood (DAG Wood), Deputy Atte	orney General, State of California, represented
14	complainant Yolanda Morrow, Acting Director of	the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau),
15	Department of Justice, State of California.	
16	Melvyn McGowan (McGowan) appeared o	on his own behalf without representation.
17	During the evidentiary hearing, Presiding (Officer Russell Johnson took official notice of
18	the following: Notice and Agenda of Commission	Hearing; the Commission's Conclusion of
19	Prehearing Conference letter; the Commission's N	lotice of Hearing with two attachments: (1)
20	Application for Finding of Suitability Tribal Key I	Employee and (2) the Bureau's Tribal Key
21	Employee Background Investigation Report; the E	Bureau's Statement of Reasons; and
22	McGowan's signed Notice of Defense.	
23	During the evidentiary hearing, Presiding (Officer Russell Johnson accepted into evidence
24	the following exhibits offered by the Bureau pursu	ant to a stipulation between the parties:
25	1) Statement of Reasons; Statement	nt to Respondent; Excerpts of the California
26	Business and Professions Code	and the California Code of Regulations; and
27	December 6, 2021, Certificate of	of Service by Certified Mail, Bates Nos. 001-
28		
	Decis	ion and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-120

1	
1	023;
2	2) Notice of Defense, Bates Nos. 024-025;
3	3) Notices from the Commission:
4	a) June 28, 2021, Referral of Initial Tribal Key Employee Finding of
5	Suitability Application to an Evidentiary Hearing-Melvyn McGowan,
6	Bates Nos. 026-027;
7	b) August 20, 2021, Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference, with
8	Attachments A & B, Bates Nos. 028-048;
9	c) December 14, 2021, Conclusion of Pre-Hearing Conference letter, Bates
10	Nos. 049-059;
11	4) January 31, 2021, Application for Finding of Suitability of Tribal Key
12	Employee, with Tribal Key Employee Supplemental Background Investigation
13	Information, for Melvyn Douglas McGowan, Bates Nos. 060-068;
14	5) May 2021 Tribal Key Employee Background Investigation Report for Melvyn
15	Douglas McGowan, Bates Nos. 069-081;
16	6) License History and Certification of Official Records, Bates Nos. 082-083;
17	7) Employment Verification Documents, Bates Nos. 084-090;
18	8) Bankruptcy Documents, Bates Nos. 091-109;
19	9) Bureau Correspondence, Bates Nos. 110-141:
20	a) March 19, 2021 Letter from Misty Trejo to Melvyn McGowan regarding
21	Recommendation for Denial of Application for Finding of Suitability,
22	Bates Nos. 110-111;
23	b) Email dated March 30, 2022 between Melissa Avent and Neil Keller, Bates
24	Nos. 112-115;
25	c) March 29, 2021 Jamul Casino Team Member Action Notification, Bates
26	No. 116;
27	d) March 30, 2021, Letter from Misty Trejo to Dolores Olivarez/CGCC
28	
	2
	Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-12C

1		regarding Dequest for Withdrewel of Application for Finding of Suitshility
		regarding Request for Withdrawal of Application for Finding of Suitability
2		for Tribal Key Employee Melvyn McGowan (TRKE-020770), Bates Nos.
3		117-124;
4	e)	April 29, 2020 Letter from Melissa Avent to Melvyn Hines regarding
5		Additional Information and/or Documentation Required, Bates Nos. 125-
6		127;
7	f)	Email Correspondence dated April 27, 2020 through May 12, 2020, Bates
8		Nos. 128-133;
9	g)	June 24, 2020 Letter from Melissa Avent to Melvyn Hines regarding
10		Additional Information and/or Documentation Required, Bates Nos. 134-
11		135;
12	h)	Email Correspondence dated June 25, 2020 through July 3, 2020, Bates
13		Nos. 136-140;
14	i)	Email dated July 15, 2021 from Melissa Avent to Johnny Ballon, Bates No.
15		141;
16	10) CC	GCC Memos/Correspondence, Bates Nos. 142-151:
17	a)	April 21, 2021 Letter from Shana Marquez to Melvyn McGowan regarding
18		Notification of Scheduled Commission Meeting (TRKE-020770), Bates
19		No. 142;
20	b)	May 6, 2021 CGCC Licensing Division Memorandum, Bates Nos. 143-
21		145;
22	c)	May 12, 2021 Letter from Shana Marquez to Melvyn McGowan regarding
23		Finding of Suitability for Melvyn McGowan (TRKE-020770), Bates No.
24		146;
25	d)	June 14, 2021 Letter from Amy Arndt to Melvyn McGowan regarding
26		Notification of Scheduled Commission Meeting (TRKE-020770), Bates
27		No. 147; and
28		
		3
		Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-12C

1	e) June 24, 2021 CGCC Licensing Division Memorandum, Bates Nos. 148-	
2	151.	
3	During the evidentiary hearing, Presiding Officer Russell Johnson accepted into evidence	
4	the following exhibit offered by McGowan pursuant to a stipulation between the parties:	
5	A) December 23, 2021, character reference by John Hayward for McGowan.	
6	The record was closed and the matter was submitted on January 25, 2022.	
7	FINDINGS OF FACT	
8	Procedural History	
9	1. McGowan has been employed by the Jamul Casino since February 2019.	
10	McGowan was issued a Tribal Key Employee License, TRKE-020770 by the Jamal Indian	
11	Village of California ("Tribe") on or about November 2, 2017, which allowed McGowan to work	
12	as a poker dealer at the Jamul Casino.	
13	2. As required by the Tribal-State Gaming Compact between the State of California	
14	and the Tribe, McGowan submitted an Application for Finding of Suitability Tribal Key	
15	Employee and a Tribal Key Employee Supplemental Background Investigation Information form	
16	(collectively referred to as "Application") to the Bureau on or about April 24, 2019. The	
17	Application was signed on January 25, 2019.	
18	3. On March 26, 2021, Bureau staff met with McGowan by phone to inform him	
19	generally of the basis of the Bureau's recommendation to deny his Application.	
20	4. On March 30, 2021, after transferring McGowan to a non-key position, the Jamul	
21	Tribal Gaming Commission submitted a request to the Bureau to abandon the Application. On	
22	March 30, 2021, the Bureau submitted a request to the Commission to withdraw McGowan's	
23	Application.	
24	5. On May 6, 2021, the Commission denied the request to withdraw McGowan's	
25	Application and directed the Bureau to complete McGowan's background investigation.	
26	6. On or about May 25, 2021, the Bureau issued its Tribal Key Employee	
27	Background Investigation Report, Level III, in which it recommended that the Commission deny	
28		
	4 Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-12C	
	Decision and Order, COUC Case 140. COUC-2021-0024-12C	

1	the Application.	
2	7. On June 24, 2021, the Commission referred consideration of the Application to an	
3	evidentiary hearing to be conducted as a Gambling Control Act hearing pursuant to California	
4	Code of Regulations, Title 4, Division 18, Chapter 1, section 12060.	
5	8. On or about July 6, 2021, Applicant submitted a Notice of Defense form to the	
6	Commission requesting an evidentiary hearing on the consideration of his Application.	
7	9. On August 20, 2021, a Notice of Hearing was sent to DAG Wood and McGowan	
8	stating that an evidentiary hearing would be held before the Commission by means of video	
9	conferencing using Zoom on January 25, 2021 and a prehearing conference would be held on	
10	December 13, 2021.	
11	10. On or about December 7, 2021, The Commission received the Bureau's Statement	
12	of Reasons. In the Statement of Reasons, the Bureau requests that the Commission deny the	
13	Application based on the allegation that McGowan provided untrue or misleading information on	
14	the Application regarding his reasons for leaving employment at Seven Mile Casino.	
15	11. On December 13, 2020, the noticed Prehearing Conference was held before	
16	Presiding Officer Russell Johnson, Attorney III of the Commission. DAG Wood attended on	
17	behalf of the Bureau. McGowan attended on his own behalf without representation.	
18	12. The Commission held the Gambling Control Act evidentiary hearing on this matter	
19	via Zoom video conference on January 25, 2021. The Bureau was represented by DAG Wood and	
20	McGowan appeared on his own behalf without representation.	
21	Applicant's Employment History in Controlled Gambling	
22	13. McGowan has been working in the controlled gambling industry since	
23	approximately 2007. McGowan worked at casinos and cardrooms in Nevada, Maryland, and	
24	California in a variety of positions, including as a dealer and a supervisor.	
25	14. McGowan worked at the Seven Mile Casino in California as a poker dealer from	
26	May 5, 2018, until January 11, 2019.	
27	15. On the Application, McGowan stated that his reason for leaving employment at	
28		
	5 Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-120	-
		1

1 Seven Mile Casino was "job at new casino."

2 16. McGowan began working at the Jamul Casino in February 2019. He started as a 3 poker supervisor and was then transferred to a poker dealer position as of March 30, 2021. 4 17. During the course of the Bureau's background investigation, it was determined 5 that McGowan was terminated from Seven Mile Casino. On his application for a license with the 6 Tribal Gaming Commission, McGowan stated that he left his employment with Seven Mile 7 Casino because he "accepted position at Jamul." On the Jamul Casino employment application, 8 McGowan wrote that his reason for leaving Seven Mile Casino was "unhappy with work 9 conditions."

10 18. The Bureau Report disclosed no derogatory employment history for McGowan 11 other than his termination from Seven Mile Casino.

12 **Termination from Seven Mile Casino**

13 19. As part of the Bureau's background investigation, a request for employment 14 verification was submitted to Seven Mile Casino. The Human Resource Manager at Seven Mile 15 Casino filled out and returned the form stating that McGowan was terminated for "violation of 16 company policy, industry standards, and guest services standards by creating a loud disruption 17 and leaving a deck of cards unprotected during a live hand while having a dispute with a player 18 over the result of a hand that had been dealt."

19 20. On June 24, 2020, during the course of the Bureau's background investigation, 20 McGowan was asked to provide a written statement explaining the circumstances that led to his 21 termination from Seven Mile Casino and the reason that he did not disclose the termination on his 22 Application.

23

21. On July 3, 2020, McGowan provided a lengthy and detailed written statement to 24 the Bureau explaining the circumstances leading to his termination from Seven Mile Casino. The 25 statement provides that on McGowan's Monday night shift, he was the dealer at a poker table 26 when an argument erupted between two players, requiring McGowan to deal around the players 27 as they argued. In the commotion, a new player sat down in a position that required him to make a

1 mandatory payment in order to get dealt into the game. McGowan accidentally dealt the new 2 player in without requiring him to make the payment. On the next hand, the player who lost the 3 pot in the previous hand stopped the action and asked if McGowan had required the new player to 4 post the required payment. McGowan admitted that he had made a mistaken and not collected 5 payment from the new player prior to dealing him into the game. McGowan asked the player to 6 wait until the hand was over so that McGowan could call the floor supervisor to handle the 7 situation. The player began to put his finger in McGowan's face and yell at him. McGowan called 8 for the floor supervisor, Kathy, to come to the table to assist him as the player became more 9 aggressive. Kathy had already been to the table several times that day and waved McGowan off 10 and said she wasn't going to deal with these patrons again. McGowan became afraid that the 11 player was going to hit him. Eventually, a security guard saw the commotion and came to the 12 table to assist. The rest of the shift finished without any further incident.

13 22. According to McGowan's written statement, the next day, poker manager Dale 14 Wolfe told McGowan that it was unacceptable for him to set the deck down and stop the game 15 while he waited for assistance from Kathy. Mr. Wolfe told McGowan that he was suspended until 16 further notice. On Friday, McGowan called the Human Resource office to see if he should report 17 to work for his scheduled shift. McGowan was told to report for a meeting and to bring his 18 uniform. When McGowan arrived, security was waiting for him and gave him a final paycheck 19 and told him that he was terminated. McGowan wrote that this was his first disciplinary action at 20 Seven Mile Casino. McGowan also wrote that although the stated reason for his termination was 21 that he set the deck down unprotected, McGowan believed that he set the deck down in the 22 normal location to place it when a hand is finished and the deck was never out of his reach or 23 control.

24 23. Jeff Osgood, a former Dual Rate Supervisor at Seven Mile Casino, testified at the
25 hearing on McGowan's behalf. Mr. Osgood testified that he has known McGowan for
26 approximately 16 years, and they worked together at Jamul Casino, Seven Mile Casino, and
27 Caesar's Palace.

Mr. Osgood worked at Seven Mile Casino when McGowan was terminated.
 Although Mr. Osgood did not personally see the incident leading to McGowan's termination
 occur, he spoke to approximately twenty people who witnessed the incident, including other
 supervisors. Mr. Osgood testified that based on his discussions with witnesses to the incident, he
 was in complete disagreement with McGowan's termination.

6 25. Mr. Osgood testified that in his opinion, the floor manager, Kathy, should have
7 been disciplined, but not McGowan. Mr. Osgood further testified that there were unusual
8 circumstances involved in McGowan's termination because Kathy was the Chief Financial
9 Officer's (CFO) mother; and the CFO, rather than Human Resources, made the decision to
10 terminate McGowan. Mr. Osgood also testified that McGowan's termination was also unusual in
11 that progressive discipline was not used and McGowan was terminated after one incident despite
12 having no prior reprimands.

13 26. Mr. Osgood explained that under normal circumstances, when a dealer has a
14 conflict with a patron, the floor supervisor is called to the table to help the dealer. The supervisor
15 should come right away and deescalate the situation and if needed call security. The dealer is
16 required to stay in his seat for procedural reasons. Mr. Osgood testified that multiple people told
17 him that McGowan's table was out of control all day, which should have been addressed by
18 security or the supervisor earlier in the day.

19

Failure to Disclose Termination from Seven Mile Casino

20 27. McGowan's July 3, 2020, written statement to the Bureau also explained his 21 reason for not disclosing the termination on the Application. McGowan stated that he believed he 22 was wrongfully terminated and tried to set up a meeting with the Human Resource Department 23 for Seven Mile Casino. However, his calls were not returned. McGowan stated that he disclosed 24 the termination during his employment interview at Jamul Casino. After passing his initial 25 employment verification and background, McGowan was called back to Jamul Casino to fill out 26 forms as a key employee. McGowan did not think that he needed to state that he was terminated 27 from Seven Mile Casino because he had already explained the circumstances to his supervisor.

1 28. At the evidentiary hearing, McGowan provided further testimony regarding his 2 belief that he was wrongfully terminated and that he might be able to get his job back at Seven 3 Mile Casino. McGowan testified that he felt his termination was extremely unfair and due to 4 favoritism shown towards the CFO's mother, Kathy. McGowan testified that after he was 5 terminated, he contacted Human Resources to determine whether he could appeal the termination 6 decision. McGowan also met with an attorney to discuss the possibility of filing a wrongful 7 termination lawsuit. While McGowan's efforts to regain his employment at Seven Mile Casino 8 were ultimately unsuccessful, he was initially hopeful that he could get his job back if he was 9 given an opportunity to explain his side of the story.

10 29. McGowan testified that he had a very short time period in which to complete the
11 necessary applications to work at Jamul Casino and during that time, he was also exploring
12 options to get his job back at Seven Mile Casino. When McGowan filled out the Application,
13 Jamul Casino's poker room was within a week of opening and he was in a rush to complete the
14 paperwork by the given deadline.

30. McGowan admitted that he did not indicate on the Jamul Casino employment
application that he was terminated from Seven Mile Casino. However, he told the hiring
supervisor during his employment interview what had occurred. McGowan did not think that he
was hiding the Seven Mile Casino termination from the Jamul Casino hiring authorities. He felt
that he had told his supervisor at Jamul and would have a further opportunity to explain during
the Tribe's background investigation process.

31. McGowan testified that he did not understand that the background investigation
done by the Bureau and Tribe were completely separate. McGowan believed he would be able to
explain the circumstances of his termination and efforts to challenge the termination during the
background investigation process. Since McGowan had shared the information regarding his
termination from Seven Mile Casino with his supervisor at Jamul Casino during the interview
process, he believed the Bureau would have the same information.

27

32. McGowan's supervisor, John Rochfort, Poker Manager, provided a statement to

1 the Bureau dated May 12, 2021, stating that during the interview process in January 2019, 2 McGowan disclosed to him the circumstances regarding his termination from Seven Mile Casino. 3 Mr. Rochfort did not consider the termination to be a disqualifying factor and moved forward in 4 the hiring process with McGowan.

5

Assessment of McGowan's Suitability for Licensure

6 33. McGowan submitted a character reference letter from John Hayward. Mr. 7 Hayward wrote that he hired McGowan in December of 2015 as a poker dealer at the Caesar's 8 Palace in Las Vegas. At that time, Mr. Hayward was a shift manager and McGowan quickly 9 caught his attention as a knowledgeable and capable dealer. McGowan was given the opportunity 10 to supervise and quickly proved able to run a shift. McGowan demonstrated a dedication to 11 learning and growing in his position and proved to be both responsible and reliable in all of his 12 job duties. McGowan required little supervision, yet promptly reported issues to management. 13 Mr. Hayward wrote that if given the opportunity to hire McGowan for a future endeavor, 14 McGowan would be at the top of Mr. Hayward's list because he values McGowan's ability and 15 character.

16 34. Mr. Osgood also testified regarding McGowan's character and work ethic. Mr. 17 Osgood testified that he and McGowan have been each other's supervisors and have also been 18 colleagues in different positions. Mr. Osgood testified that McGowan is reliable, a hard worker, 19 and an excellent employee. Mr. Osgood has enjoyed working with McGowan and would hire him 20 if he ran a business.

21 35. The character reference letter by Mr. Hayward and the testimony of Mr. Osgood 22 are collectively persuasive that McGowan is a reliable and hardworking employee with many 23 positive attributes. Additionally, Mr. Osgood and McGowan's testimony regarding the unusual 24 circumstances surrounding McGowan's termination, including likely favoritism towards Kathy 25 based on nepotism, was also credible. Therefore, McGowan's termination does not reflect 26 negatively on his character. Based on the foregoing, McGowan has met his burden of proving that 27 he is a person of good character, honesty, and integrity.

1	36. The Commission also finds that McGowan's testimony that he did not intend to	
2	conceal from the Bureau the fact that he was terminated from Seven Mile Casino to be credible.	
3	These events occurred over a very short period of time where McGowan was attempting to regain	
4	his job at Seven Mile Casino. McGowan's belief that he might be able to speak to someone in	
5	Seven Mile Casino's Human Resource Department to regain his position was credible given the	
6	unusual nature of the termination. Additionally, McGowan explained the circumstances of his	
7	termination to Mr. Rochfort during the employment interview and thought that information would	
8	be shared with the Bureau. McGowan's testimony that he was confused by the process of	
9	submitting separate applications to the Bureau and Tribal Gaming Commission, and did not	
10	realize that two separate investigations would occur without information necessarily being shared	
11	between the two entities, was also credible. Based on the foregoing, McGowan is not disqualified	
12	from licensure on the basis of his failure to disclose the termination from Seven Mile Casino on	
13	the Application.	
14	37. All documentary and testimonial evidence submitted by the parties that is not	
15	specifically addressed in this Decision and Order was considered but not used by the Commission	
16	in making its determination on McGowan's Application.	
17	LEGAL CONCLUSIONS	
18	38. Every Gaming Employee shall obtain, and thereafter maintain current, a valid	
19	tribal gaming license, and except as provided in subdivision (b), shall obtain, and thereafter	
20	maintain current, a State Gaming Agency ¹ determination of suitability, which license and	
21	determination shall be subject to biennial renewal; provided that in accordance with section 6.4.9,	
22	those persons may be employed on a temporary or conditional basis pending completion of the	
23	licensing process and the State Gaming Agency determination of suitability. (Tribal State	
24	Compact between the State of California and the Jamul Indian Village of California section 6.4.3,	
25	subdivision (a).)	
26	39. Investigation and disposition of applications for a determination of suitability shall	
27	¹ "State Gaming Agency" is defined as the entities authorized to investigate, approve, regulate, and license gaming purguent to the Compling Control Act, which includes the Commission (See Tribel	
28	and license gaming pursuant to the Gambling Control Act, which includes the Commission. (<i>See</i> Tribal State Compact between the State of California and the Jamul Indian Village of California, section 2.26.)	
	11	
	Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-12C	

be governed entirely be State law, and the State Gaming Agency shall determine whether the
applicant would be found suitable for licensure in a gambling establishment subject to the State
Gaming Agency's jurisdiction. (Tribal State Compact between the State of California and the
Jamul Indian Village of California section 6.5.6, subdivision (c).)

40. Division 1.5 of the Business and Professions Code, the provisions of which govern
the denial of licenses on various grounds, does not apply to licensure decisions made by the
Commission under the Gambling Control Act. (Business and Professions Code section 476,
subdivision (a).)

9 41. Public trust and confidence can only be maintained by strict and comprehensive
10 regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations, and activities related to the operation
11 of lawful gambling establishments and the manufacture and distribution of permissible gambling
12 equipment. (Business and Professions Code section 19801, subdivision (h).)

- 42. A "finding of suitability" means a finding that a person meets the qualification
 criteria described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section² 19857, and that the person would not be
 disqualified from holding a state gambling license on any of the grounds specified in Section
 19859. (Business and Professions Code section 19805, subdivision (j).)
- 17 43. The Commission has the responsibility of assuring that licenses, approvals, and
 18 permits are not issued to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose
 19 operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare.
 20 (Business and Professions Code section 19823, subdivision (a)(1).)
- 44. An "unqualified person" means a person who is found to be unqualified pursuant
 to the criteria set forth in Section 19857, and "disqualified person" means a person who is found
 to be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 19859. (Business and Professions
 Code section 19823, subdivision (b).)
- 45. The burden of proof is always on the applicant to prove his, her, or its
 qualifications to receive any license or other approval under the Gambling Control Act. (Business
- 27
- ² All references to statute herein refer to the California Business and Professions Code unless otherwise stated.

1 and Professions Code section 19856; CCR section 12060, subdivision (i).)

46. McGowan has met his burden of proving that he is a person of good character,
honesty, and integrity. As a result, McGowan is qualified to receive a finding of suitability under
Section 19857, subdivision (a).

5 47. McGowan has met his burden of proving that he is a person whose prior activities, 6 criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public 7 interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or 8 enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the 9 conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements 10 incidental thereto. As a result, McGowan is qualified to receive a finding of suitability under 11 Section 19857, subdivision (b).

48. McGowan has also met his burden of proving that his failure to disclose the fact
that he was terminated from Seven Mile Casino on the Application was not based on an intent to
conceal information, or provide untrue or misleading information to the Bureau. As a result,
McGowan is not disqualified from licensure pursuant to Section 19859, subdivision (b).
McGowan has further met his burden of proving that he is not disqualified from holding a state

17 gambling license on any of the additional grounds specified in Section 19859.

18 ///

19

20

22

24

///

- 21
- 23

25

27 28

1		
2	ORDER	
3	1. Melvyn Douglas McGowan's Application for a Tribal Key Employee Finding of	
4	Suitability is APPROVED.	
5	2. No costs are awarded.	
6	3. Each side to pay its own attorneys' fees.	
7	This Order is effective on March 22, 2022.	
8	DocuSigned by:	
9	Dated: 3/22/2022 Signature: 38D0AB38C651466	
10	Paula LaBrie, Chair	
11	DocuSigned by:	
12	Dated: 3/22/2022 Signature: Cathleen Galgiani	
13	Cathleen Galgiani, Commissioner	
14	DocuSigned by:	
15	Dated: 3/22/2022 Signature: Eric Heins	
16	Eric Heins, Commissioner	
17	DocuSigned by:	
18	Dated: 3/22/2022 Signature: William Liv	
19	William Liu, Commissioner	
20	DocuSigned by:	
21	Dated: 3/22/2022 Signature: 14B4AD3B90F8462	
22	Edward Yee, Commissioner	
23		
24		
25		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20	14	
	Decision and Order, CGCC Case No: CGCC-2021-0624-12C	