1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General NEIL D. HOUSTON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 168058 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-5476 Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: Neil.Houston@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for the Bureau of Gambling Control		
9	BEFORE THE		
11	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: BGC Case No. SA08-00023		
15	LUCKY CHANCES, INC., dba OAH No. 2011-03-0417		
16	LUCKY CHANCES CASINO 1700 Hillside Boulevard STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
17	Colma, CA 94044 DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
18	LICENSE NUMBER GEGE-001108		
19			
20			
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
22	entitled proceeding that the following matters are true:		
23	PARTIES		
24	1. At the time this action was brought, Jacob A. Appelsmith was the Chief of the		
25	Bureau of Gambling Control, California Department of Justice ("Bureau"). He brought this		
26	action solely in his official capacity. On or about January 3, 2011, Martin J. Horan IV, became		
27	Acting Chief of the Bureau and is now the complainant in this action solely in his official		
28			

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order

capacity. Acting Chief Horan is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Neil D. Houston, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Lucky Chances, Inc. (Respondent), is an active California corporation, Number C1833044, which owns and operates the gambling enterprise known as the Lucky Chances Casino, 1700 Hillside Boulevard, Colma, CA 94044. Respondent is presently the holder of Owner's Gambling License No. GEGE-001108, issued by the California Gambling Control Commission ("Commission"). Said license was in full force and effect at all times relevant herein and will expire on September 30, 2011, unless renewed.
- 3. Accusation No. BGC SA08-00023 was filed before the Commission on or about January 11, 2011. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 31, 2010. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A First Amended Accusation No. BGC SA08-00023, OAH No. 2011-03-0417 was filed before the Commission on or about August 19, 2011. The First Amended Accusation was properly served on Respondent on June 24, 2011. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. BGC SA08-00023 is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by this reference. A hearing on the First Amended Accusation was set for September 20, 2011.

Prior to the hearing, the parties entered into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order ("Stipulation"), and the hearing was taken off-calendar.

4. The parties agree that the Commission has jurisdiction over this matter and has authority to approve this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order ("Stipulation").

CONTINGENCY

5. This Stipulation is subject to final approval by the Commission. This Stipulation shall be submitted by the Bureau to the Commission for approval at a noticed Commission meeting. Respondent shall be provided with written notice of the time, date, and place of the meeting at which this Stipulation will be considered by the Commission. Respondent understands and agrees that Respondent may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the Stipulation prior to the time the Commission considers and acts upon it. If the Commission fails to adopt this Stipulation as its decision and order, the Stipulation shall be of no force or

effect, except that Complainant and Respondent agree that this Stipulation shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties or for any purpose, and the Commission shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

6. If the Commission rejects this Stipulation as its decision and order, and no amended agreement or settlement is reached before two additional regularly noticed Commission meetings have concluded or sixty (60) days have elapsed, whichever is later, the Bureau shall proceed with a formal evidentiary hearing of the charges in Accusation No. BGC SA2008-00036, before an Administrative Law Judge from the Office of Administrative Hearings.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent has carefully read this Stipulation, and has been afforded the opportunity to review and discuss its terms with counsel or other advisor(s) of Respondent's choice. Respondent fully understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. BGC SA08-00023, and Respondent fully understands the effects of this Stipulation.
- 8. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to an administrative/evidentiary hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses; the right to present evidence and to testify; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth in paragraph 8, above, with respect to this matter and forever gives up any right to challenge the allegations in the Accusation, to appeal, and to challenge or collaterally attack the terms of this Stipulation.
- 10. Respondent agrees that counsel for the Bureau and the staff of the Bureau may communicate directly with Commission staff regarding this Stipulation, without notice to, or participation by, Respondent or its counsel or other adviser(s) of choice prior to the

Commission's consideration of this stipulation at a noticed Commission meeting, and that no such communications shall be deemed a prohibited ex parte communication.

CULPABILITY

- 11. Except as expressly denied herein, Respondent admits the truth of the facts alleged in the First Cause for Discipline. Respondent disputes, but chooses not contest, the application of law to those facts that are alleged in the First Cause for Discipline. Respondent denies that it knew, prior to notification by the Bureau of Gambling Control on or about March 10, 2008, that Bay Area Player's Group, Inc. ("Bay Area"), at any time prior to that date provided third party proposition player services using unregistered or unlicensed employees, and/or employees who displayed fraudulent badges that had not been issued by the Commission. When Respondent became aware of the fraudulent badges, Respondent terminated Bay Area Player's Group and contracted with another company, prior to the filing of any proceeding by the Bureau.
- 12. Except as expressly denied herein, Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation made as to it in the Second Cause for Discipline. Respondent denies that it permitted Bay Area's employees to provide third-party proposition player services for the game of "Pure 21.5 Blackjack" in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12200.7, subdivision (b)(3) between the dates of January 25, 2008 and February 19, 2008.
- 13. Except as expressly denied herein, Respondent admits the truth of the facts alleged in the Third Cause for Discipline. Respondent disputes, but chooses not to contest the application of law to those facts that are alleged in the Third Cause for Discipline. Respondent contends that there was an approved contract with Wagermaster, Inc., and also an approved contract with the successor company, Fortune Players Group, but that the transition from one company to the next did not occur on the date scheduled with the Bureau.
- 14. Respondent agrees that License GEGE-001108 is subject to discipline on the basis of the foregoing, and agrees to be bound by the Commission's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

17.

OTHER MATTERS

15. The parties understand and agree that electronically transmitted copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including electronically transmitted signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts that when combined shall have the same force and effect as a single original document.

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing agreements, admissions, and stipulations, the parties agree that the Commission may issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Penalty. Respondent shall pay a fine of \$38,000.00. Respondent shall lodge with Complainant's counsel, within five (5) business days after the execution of this Stipulation by all parties, a cashier's check payable to the Bureau of Gambling Control in the amount of \$38,000.00 in payment of said fine. This cashier's check shall not be deposited or cashed until the Commission has entered this Disciplinary Order. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the cashier's check shall be promptly returned to Respondent's counsel.
- 2. Reimbursement of Bureau Costs. Respondent shall pay the Bureau the sum of \$29,500 as reasonable costs for the investigation and prosecution of this matter. Respondent shall lodge with Complainant's counsel, within five (5) business days after the execution of this Stipulation by all parties, a cashier's check payable to the Bureau of Gambling Control in the amount of \$29,500 in payment of said costs. This cashier's check shall not be deposited or cashed until the Commission has entered this Disciplinary Order. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the cashier's check shall be promptly returned to Respondent's counsel. The payment of costs described in this paragraph may, at Respondent's option, be combined in a single check with the payment of the fine described in paragraph 1, above, in the total amount of \$67,500.00.

under California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12556, subdivision (i).

4. Effective Date. This Order shall become effective upon service! on Respondent of written notice of the Commission's adoption of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

ACCEPTANCE

The undersigned has carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

Order and has been given the opportunity to review it with an attorney or other advisor of its
choice. The undersigned understands the Stipulation and the effect it will have on State

Gambling License GEGE-001108. The undersigned enters into this Stipulation and

Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently. All corporate formalities required
to make this Stipulation and Disciplinary Order binding upon the Respondent when executed by
the undersigned have been undertaken and completed, and Respondent agrees to be bound by
the Order of the Commission upon the signature of the undersigned.

Dated: September 18, 2011

. 21

. 13

LUCKY CHANCES, INC.

formul Medika	
By: ROMMEL MEDINA	•
Title: CEO	

As used herein, the term "service" shall mean the date of mailing.

1	COMPLAINANT'S ACCEPTANCE
2	Dated: September 16, 2011
3	
4	MARTIN J. HORANIV, Acting Chief
5	! Bureau of Gambling Control
6	
7	ENDORSEMENT
8	
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
.	submitted for consideration by the California Gambling Control Commission.
0	Dated: September <u>/9</u> , 2011
. 1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California
2	rading denote of the base of Camolina
3	By:
4	NEIL D. HOUSTON
5	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
6	
7	
8	Dated: September, 2011
9	BLONIEN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
.0	
.1	By:
	RODNEY J. BLONIEN
.2	Attorneys for Respondent
.3	
4	
:5	
6.	
.7	
28	
	7 Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order

1	COMPLAINANT'S ACCEPTANCE
2	Dated: September 2011
3	
4	MARTIN J. HORAN IV, Acting Chief Bureau of Gambling Control
5	:
6	
7	ENDORSEMENT
. 8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
9.	submitted for consideration by the California Gambling Control Commission.
10	Dated: September, 2011
11	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of the State of California
12	Audinoy Condia of the Bate of Camonia
13	Ву:
14	NEIL D. HOUSTON
15	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
16	
17	
18	Dated: September, 2011
19	BLONIEN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
20	
21	By: RODNEY J. BLONIEN
22	Attorneys for Respondent
23	
24	
25	
26 ·	
27	
28	7
	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order

DECISION AND ORDER

DECISION AND ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement of the parties "In the Matter of the Accusation Against Lucky Chances, Inc., dba Lucky Chances Casino, License Number GEGE-001108" OAH Case No. 2011-03-0417 has been adopted by a majority vote of the Commission as its final decision and order in this matter and is effective upon execution below by the Commission members.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: <u>Mov. 10, 2011</u>	Signature: <u>Stephanie Shimazu, Chairperson</u>
Dated:	Signature: Tiffany E. Conklin, Commissioner
Dated: <u>Nov. 10, 2011</u>	Signature: Journal Hammond, Commissioner
Dated: <u>Mov. 10, 2011</u>	