1	BEFO	DRE THE			
2	CALIFORNIA GAMBLIN	G CONTROL COMMISSION			
3 4	In the Matter of the Statement of Reasons for Denial of License Application:	BGC Case No. BGC-HQ2013-00007AL CGCC Case No. CGCC-2013-0321-2			
5	JOHN PATRICK BUYS	DECISION AND ORDER			
6 7 8	Respondent.	Hearing Date: November 7, 2014 Time: 10:00 a.m.			
9	This matter was heard by the California	Gambling Control Commission (Commission)			
10	pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870 and 19871 and Title 4, California				
11	Code of Regulations (CCR) section 12050(b)(2), in Sacramento, California, on November 7,				
12	2014.				
13	Ronald Diedrich, Deputy Attorney General, State of California, represented complainant				
14	Wayne J. Quint, Jr., Chief of the Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau), Department of Justice,				
15	State of California.				
16	Michael F. Babitzke, Esq. (Babitzke) represented respondent John Patrick Buys (Buys).				
17	During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Jason Pope took official notice of				
18	the following:				
19	(a) Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference, dated July 21, 2014, served				
20	by certified mail, return receipt requested; and				
21	(b) Conclusion of Prehearing	g Conference letter, dated August 21, 2014.			
22	During the administrative hearing, Presiding Officer Jason Pope accepted into				
23	evidence the following exhibits offered by the Bureau:				
24	(1) Statement of Reasons fil	ed and served by the Bureau;			
25	(2) Copies of the June 14, 20	013 letter from Tina Littleton notifying the parties			
26	that the hearing for this i	natter will be held pursuant to Title 4, CCR section			
27	12050(b)(2); July 21, 20	14 Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference;			
		그 그리다는 글로 무료하게 왜 바닷글을			

August 21, 2014 Conclusion of Prehearing Conference letter; August 28,
2014 letter from Babitzke requesting a continuance of the hearing; August
29, 2014 Notice of Representation of Buys by Babitzke; September 2, 201
letter from Tina Littleton notifying the parties that Babitzke's request for a
continuance of the hearing is granted; and September 10, 2014 Notice of
Rescheduled Hearing;

- (3) Buys' October 17, 2011 Application for Gambling Establishment Key
 Employee License CGCC-031 (Rev. 08/09), dated October 12, 2011 and
 Buys' September 29, 2011 Key Employee Supplemental Background
 Investigation Information BGC-APP. 016A (Rev. 08/09), dated September
 15, 2011;
- (4) Certified copy of the court records regarding Buys' November 23, 2004 misdemeanor conviction for violating California Vehicle Code section 23152(b), in the case of *People v. John Patrick Buys* (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, 2004, LM031433A);
- (5) Certified copy of the court records regarding Buys' July 25, 2007 misdemeanor convictions for violating California Penal Code sections 148 and 647(f), in the case of *People v. John Patrick Buys* (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, 2007, SM258043A) and August 8, 2007 Modification of Probation that was granted in the 2004 case of *People v. John Patrick Buys* (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, 2004, LM031433A); and
- (6) Stockton Police Department's Incident Reports regarding the circumstances that led to Buys' July 25, 2007 misdemeanor convictions for violating California Penal Code sections 148 and 647(f) in the case of People v. John Patrick Buys (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, 2007, SM258043A) and August 8, 2007 Modification of Probation that was granted in the 2004 case of People v. John Patrick Buys (Super. Ct. San

Commission recommending the denial of Buys' Application.

- 8. On or about July 21, 2014, the Commission served a Notice of Hearing and Prehearing Conference on Buys and the Bureau.
- 9. On or about August 20, 2014, the noticed Prehearing Conference was held before Presiding Officer Jason Pope, Attorney III of the Commission. Ronald Diedrich, Deputy Attorney General, attended on behalf of the Bureau. Babitzke appeared on behalf of Buys, who also attended.
- 10. On or about August 21, 2014, the Commission served a Conclusion of Prehearing Conference letter on Babitzke and the Bureau.
- 11. On or about August 28, 2014, Babitzke submitted a written request for a continuance of the hearing on Buys' Application.
- 12. On or about September 2, 2014, the Executive Director of the Commission granted Buys' request for a continuance of the hearing.
- 13. On or about September 4, 2014, Buys served a Notice of Representation, whereby he appointed Babitzke as his attorney and legal representative in this matter, on the Commission and the Bureau.
- 14. On or about September 10, 2014, the Commission served a Notice of Rescheduled Hearing on Babitzke and the Bureau.
- 15. The Commission heard Case No. CGCC-2013-0321-2 on November 7, 2014. The Bureau was represented throughout the pendency of the hearing by Deputy Attorney General Ronald Diedrich. Buys appeared and was represented throughout the pendency of the hearing by Babitzke.
- 16. On or about November 23, 2004, Buys was convicted of violating California Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving under the influence of alcohol with .08 or higher blood alcohol, a misdemeanor, in the case *People v. John Patrick Buys* (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, 2004, LM31433A). Buys was sentenced to 5 years' probation, 2 days in jail, and ordered to pay a fine and attend three months of a county approved alcohol program.

	17. Buys' November 23,	2004 conviction for	or drivin	ig under t	ne influence o	of alcoho	l with
.08 or	higher blood alcohol has	been dismissed pur	suant to	Californi	a Penal Code	sections	17(b)
1203.4	and 1203.4a.			19 THE SECOND			

- 18. On or about July 25, 2007, Buys was convicted of violating California Penal Code sections 148, resisting a public officer, a misdemeanor, and 647(f), disorderly conduct: public intoxication, a misdemeanor, in the case *People v. John Patrick Buys* (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, 2007, SM258043A). Buys was sentenced to 5 years' probation and 60 days in jail (served through participation in an Alternate Work Program).
- 19. At the time of Buys' July 25, 2007 convictions, Buys was still on probation from his November 23, 2004 conviction for driving under the influence of alcohol with .08 or higher blood alcohol level.
- 20. At the time of Buys' October 12, 2011 Application, Buys was still on probation from his July 25, 2007 convictions for resisting a public officer and disorderly conduct: public intoxication.
- 21. Buys' July 25, 2007 convictions for resisting a public officer and disorderly conduct: public intoxication have been dismissed pursuant to California Penal Code sections 17(b), 1203.4 and 1203.4a.
- 22. According to the Stockton Police Department's Incident Reports, Buys called the police to report a disturbance with an acquaintance, Kenneth L. (Kenneth). The Stockton Police arrived at Buys' home. Buys and Kenneth were very intoxicated and yelling at one another. During an argument, Buys asked Kenneth to leave. Kenneth pushed Buys causing Buys to fall to the floor. The police told Buys to stay in his house and go to bed. The police walked Kenneth out of the house and placed him in the patrol car. While the police were placing Kenneth in the patrol car, Buys came out of his house, stood in front of his door, flipped off the police officers and screamed profanity at them. The police officers told Buys to go back inside his house and stay there. Buys again screamed profanity at the police officers and went back into his house.

Buys then opened the front door holding a black long barreled BB gun that looked like a

rifle. The police ordered Buys to put the gun down. Buys refused and began to lift the gun to his shoulder and point the gun at the police. The police advanced toward Buys with their guns drawn and told him again to put the gun down. One of the police officers noticed the fore-grip of the gun fall down like on a BB or pellet gun and yelled that it was a fake gun. According to one incident report, while approaching Buys, one of the police officers grabbed the barrel of the BB gun and engaged in a struggle with Buys, ultimately forcing Buys backward into the house. According to another incident report, Buys went back inside his house, the police officers then entered the house and struggled with Buys over the BB gun. During a struggle over the BB gun, another police officer tazed Buys. The police forced Buys to the floor, handcuffed him, and placed him in custody. The police transported Buys to the county hospital where he was pre-booked and then transported him to the county jail where he was booked.

23. While there are some minor conflicts as to the description of events, the Stockton Police Department Incident Reports are reliable records regarding the circumstances surrounding Buys' July 25, 2007 convictions.

24. During the hearing, Buys testified that he went to a bowling alley to watch his friend bowl and started consuming alcohol. Buys and two other individuals left the bowling alley and went to a bar where Buys continued consuming alcohol. Buys and Kenneth left the bar and went to Buys' home. By the time Buys and Kenneth reached Buys' home, Buys was already intoxicated. Buys and Kenneth continued consuming alcohol at Buys' home. Given his level of intoxication, Buys has a limited recollection of the events after returning home. Buys recalls calling the police because he had a confrontation with Kenneth. The police arrived and arrested Kenneth. Buys pointed a replica gun at police officers. Buys was then arrested.

25. Buys testified that the replica gun was a pellet or BB gun that looks like a rifle. Buys testified that neither he nor anyone else had fired the pellet or BB gun.

26. Buys showed a reckless disregard for his safety and the perceived safety of the police officers and others by beginning to raise a BB gun that looks like a rifle and point the BB gun at police officers.

- 27. Buys testified that he did not have any justification for his actions and that he had made a horrible mistake. Buys testified that his actions were out of character and not how he lived his life.
- 28. Buys appeared remorseful while testifying. Buys' testimony demonstrated ownership of his prior actions.
- 29. Buys is no longer on probation and testified that he has not had any further arrests or difficulties with police officers.
- 30. Buys testified that he continues to consume alcohol, but does so to relax, not to get drunk. Buys testified that he has been intoxicated on at least one occasion since May 2007.
- 31. There was no evidence presented that Buys has had any legal or employment issues, whether or not derived from the consumption of alcohol, since May 2007, a period of seven and a half years.
- 32. Given the amount of time since his May 2007 convictions, Buys has demonstrated a sufficient level of rehabilitation from his prior criminal behavior.
 - 33. Buys' testimony appears honest and credible.
- 34. During the hearing, a number of witnesses testified on behalf of Buys. Steven Franza (Franza), a retired law enforcement officer and patron of the Cameo Club, testified that he has known Buys for approximately five years. Franza and Buys have spent some time socializing together, including having a beer at Buys' home. Franza testified that he has never seen Buys intoxicated or seen him consume alcohol at the Cameo Club. While not an expert in the field of gaming, Franza testified that Buys seems to be responsible and handle issues at work fairly well. Franza also testified that Buys is honest and a person of good character. Franza's testimony appears credible.
- 35. Edward Burrage (Burrage), a patron of the Cameo Club, testified on behalf of Buys. Burrage testified that he has seen Buys work at the Cameo Club, as Burrage has been going to the Cameo Club approximately twice a week for nine or ten years. Burrage testified that Buys is professional and polite. Burrage testified that he has never seen Buys consume alcohol or be

intoxicated at the Cameo Club. Burrage also testified that Buys is honest and a person of good character. Burrage's testimony appears credible.

- 36. Danielle Buys (Danielle), Buys' ex-wife and mother of their three children, testified on behalf of Buys. Danielle testified that Buys is honest, calm, and a great father. Danielle testified that Buys enjoys his work and takes it very seriously. Regarding Buys' behavior resulting in his July 25, 2007 convictions, Danielle testified that Buys made an error in judgment, and that she never felt that she or their kids were unsafe around him. Danielle also testified that Buys appeared to reduce his drinking after the incident, although she no longer spends time with him socially. Danielle's testimony appears credible.
- 37. Robert McCaullay (McCaullay), General Manager of the Cameo Club and Buys' boss, testified on behalf of Buys. McCaullay testified that Buys does an admirable job at work, making good decisions and working well with customers. McCaullay testified that he never receives complaints from customers about Buys. McCaullay's testimony appears credible.
- 38. Jason Brisby (Brisby), a Floor Manager at the Cameo Club, testified on behalf of Buys. Brisby testified that he has known Buys for 15 years and that Buys is a terrific employee and Floor Manager. Brisby testified that Buys is fair and honest, and that Buys disclosed the circumstances surrounding his July 25, 2007 convictions to Brisby. Brisby testified that it was an isolated incident. Brisby also testified that he has never seen Buys consume alcohol at work. Brisby's testimony appears credible.
- 39. The testimony of Buys, Franza, Burrage, Danielle, McCaullay, and Brisby is favorable toward Buys' character and in support of his Application.
- 40. Buys is a good employee with a positive work history given the credible testimony of Buys' employer, co-worker and patrons of the Cameo Club.
- 41. Buys' criminal history, which includes three misdemeanor convictions, all of which were alcohol related, a violation of probation, and the pointing of a BB gun that looked like a rifle at a police officer, demonstrates a past flagrant disregard for the law, legal authority and safety of others.

- 42. While Buys' criminal history is an extremely serious matter, Buys has demonstrated that he has worked hard to rehabilitate himself. As a result of his steady employment, the lack of further legal incidents, and given the positive testimony from his character witnesses, the criminal behavior leading to his two misdemeanor convictions in May 2007 were isolated and will not be repeated.
- 43. Given Buys' testimony, which demonstrated ownership of his prior criminal actions, an appropriate level of remorse and genuine honesty, the favorable testimony of five character witnesses, a lengthy employment record without any disciplinary issues, and a sufficient level of rehabilitation based upon the lack of any criminal, legal or employment incidents, whether or not arising from the consumption of alcohol, since May 2007, Buys has demonstrated that he is a person of good character, honesty and integrity.
- 44. Given Buys' extreme level of intoxication at the time he began to point a BB gun that looks like a rifle at police officers, which mitigates the intent to cause actual physical harm, the testimony of Buys and five witnesses that the criminal action was an isolated incident, and the lack of any criminal or legal incidents since May 2007, Buys has demonstrated that his prior activities, criminal record and habits do not pose a threat to the public interest of the State of California or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling.
 - 45. The matter was submitted for Commission consideration on November 21, 2014.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 46. Division 1.5 of the Business and Professions Code, the provisions of which govern the denial of licenses on various grounds, does not apply to licensure decisions made by the Commission under the Gambling Control Act. Business and Professions Code section 476(a).
- 47. Public trust and confidence can only be maintained by strict and comprehensive regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations, and activities related to the operation of lawful gambling establishments and the manufacture and distribution of permissible gambling equipment. Business and Professions Code section 19801(h).
 - 48. At an evidentiary hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19870

and 19871 and Title 4, CCR section 12050(b)(2), the burden of proof rests with the applicant to demonstrate why a license should be issued. Title 4, CCR section 12050(b)(3).

- 49. The burden of proving his or her qualifications to receive any license from the Commission is on the applicant. Business and Professions Code section 19856(a).
- 50. An application to receive a license constitutes a request for a determination of the applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate in, engage in, or be associated with, controlled gambling. Business and Professions Code section 19856(b).
- 51. In reviewing an application for any license, the Commission shall consider whether issuance of the license is inimical to public health, safety, or welfare, and whether issuance of the license will undermine public trust that the gambling operations with respect to which the license would be issued are free from criminal and dishonest elements and would be conducted honestly. Business and Professions Code section 19856(c).
- 52. The Commission has the responsibility of assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not issued to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare. Business and Professions Code section 19823(a)(1).
- 53. An "unqualified person" means a person who is found to be unqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19857, and "disqualified person" means a person who is found to be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19859. Business and Professions Code section 19823(b).
- 54. The Commission has the power to deny any application for a license, permit, or approval for any cause deemed reasonable by the Commission. Business and Professions Code section 19824(b).
- 55. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person of good character, honesty and integrity. Business and Professions Code section 19857(a).
 - 56. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and

documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto. Business and Professions Code section 19857(b).

- 57. No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is a person that is in all other respects qualified to be licensed as provided in this chapter. Business and Professions Code section 19857(c).
- 58. Buys has met his burden of proving that he is a person of good character, honesty and integrity pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(a). Therefore, Buys is qualified for licensure pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(a).
- 59. Buys' prior activities, criminal record and habits do not pose a threat to the public interest of the State of California or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(b). As a result, Buys is qualified for licensure pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(b).
- 60. Buys is qualified to be licensed in all other respects pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19857(c).

ORDER

- John Patrick Buys' Application for Gambling Establishment Key Employee License is APPROVED.
 - 2. No costs are to be awarded.
 - 3. Each side to pay its own attorneys' fees.

This Order is effective on Dural 22, 20

Dated: 12/22/2014 Signature: Richard J. Lopes, Chairman

Dated: Dec. 22, 2014 Signature: Tiffany E. Conklin, Commissioner

Dated: <u>Dec. 22</u>, <u>2014</u> Signature: <u>Jauren Hammond</u>, Commissioner

STATEMENT OF DISAGREEMENT

Commissioner Schuetz disagrees with the Findings of Fact in paragraphs 28, 32, 42, 43 and 44. As a result, Commissioner Schuetz does not support the conclusion to grant Buys' Application. In addition to the Findings of Fact other than in paragraphs 28, 32, 42, 43 and 44, Commissioner Schuetz offers the following factual considerations as support of his conclusion to deny Buys' Application. Commissioner Schuetz concurs with the decision not to award costs and for each side to pay its own attorneys' fees.

- 1. In February and May, 2004, Buys had two vehicles repossessed for failure to pay automobile loans.
- 2. On or about June 24, 2004, Buys filed for bankruptcy in the Eastern District of California.
 - 3. Buys' financial history shows a lack of fiscal responsibility.
 - 4. Buys was a 28 year old father of three at the time of his May 2007 convictions for

resisting a public officer and disorderly conduct: public intoxication, and when he pointed what police officers initially perceived to be a rifle at the police, which demonstrates a severe lack of responsibility for the health, welfare and safety of others.

- 5. The police officers' reaction of drawing their weapons and carefully approaching Buys when Buys pointed what police officers initially perceived to be a rifle at the police demonstrates that they viewed Buys' actions as a serious threat to their safety and the safety of Kenneth, who police were placing into custody.
- 6. By driving under the influence of alcohol and pointing what police officers initially perceived to be a rifle at the police, Buys endangered lives on two occasions following his consumption of alcohol.
- 7. Buys' criminal history, refusal to follow police orders, screaming profanity and threatening harm to police officers demonstrates a flagrant disregard for the law, law enforcement, legal authority and the safety of others.
- 8. Given that Buys was still on probation during the filing of his Application with the Commission, that he has been on probation for eight of the past ten years (from 2004 through 2012), that he still consumes alcohol and has been intoxicated on at least one occasion since May 2007, and the fact that significantly less than ten years has passed since his most recent criminal conviction, Buys has not demonstrated a sufficient amount of rehabilitation from his prior criminal behavior.
- 9. Buys' criminal history, which includes three misdemeanor convictions, all of which were alcohol related, two of which occurred while Buys was still on probation for his first misdemeanor conviction, his screaming profanity, refusing to follow orders and struggling with police officers, pointing what police officers initially perceived to be a rifle at the police, his lack of fiscal responsibility, and reckless disregard for the health, welfare and safety of others, including law enforcement, demonstrates that Buys is not a person of good character.

1	10. Buys' prior activities and criminal record pose a threat to the public interest of the
2	State of California.
3	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$
4	Dated: Nichard Schuetz, Commissioner
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	