	al and a second and				
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California				
2	JOEL S. PRIMES, State Bar No. 42568 Deputy Attorney General				
3	California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125				
4	P.O. Box 944255				
5	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5340				
6	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: joel.primes@doj.ca.gov				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFORE THE				
9.	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL COMMISSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
	STATE OF CAL	IFORWA			
10	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues and	Case No. S02-01			
11	Termination of Provisional License Against:				
12	MARK S. LUCIANO Outpost Casino Sports Bar and Restaurant	STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND			
13	2251 San Ramon Valley Drive San Ramon, CA 94583	TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE			
14	Suil Ruinon, Ort 9 1303	DICENSE			
15	_				
16	Respondent.				
17	Complainant alleges:				
18	<u>PARTIES</u>				
19	1. Harlan W. Goodson ("Complainant") makes and files this Statement of				
20	Issues and Termination of Provisional License in his official capacity as Director, Division of				
21	Gambling Control, Department of Justice, State of California				
22	LICENSE HISTORY				
23	2. On February 14, 1996, Mark Steven Luciano, (hereinafter "Respondent")				
24	filed an initial application with the Office of Gaming Registration for a gaming registration to				
25	own and operate the Outpost Casino Sports Bar and Restaurant, 2251 San Ramon Valley Drive,				
26	San Ramon, CA 94583, as a sole proprietorship. The gambling establishment consisted of ten				
27	tables.				
28					
-					

On August 15, 1996, Respondent withdrew his application for registration.

On September 9, 1996, Respondent submitted a new application for a gaming registration.

On October 2, 1996, an initial Conditional Registration Certificate (CRC)¹ was

On October 2, 1996, an initial Conditional Registration Certificate (CRC)¹was issued to Respondent by the Office of Gaming Registration.

On August 1, 1997, Respondent filed a subsequent initial application for gaming registration.

On October 1, 1997, a CRC was issued by the Office of Gaming Registration.

On January 1, 1998, Respondent was deemed to hold a temporary Provisional License valid through December 31, 1998. This temporary Provisional License did not confer a property right and was limited to a specific time period.²

On January 1, 1998, the Gambling Control Act became effective. This authorized the Division of Gambling Control, and the Gambling Control Board to regulate gambling in California. Business and Professions Code section 19800 et. seq.

On December 31, 1998, the temporary Provisional License issued to Respondent was extended through December 31, 1999.

On February 3, 1999, Respondent submitted an application for a state gambling license (SGL) to the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice, State of California.

On December 31, 1999, the temporary Provisional License issued to Respondent was extended through December 31, 2000.

On August 17, 2000, the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice, advised Respondent that his application for a state gambling license would be denied.

^{1.} The Attorney General was authorized to issue a CRC pending an investigation of the applicant's background. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19807(c).)

^{2.} The new law, in uncodified provisions, provides that a holder of a valid registration (including a CRC) was deemed to hold a Provisional License pending a determination on an application for a gambling license. (Section 62(b)(1) of Stats. 1997, c. 867 (S.B.8). The "issuance of a provisional license creates no vested right to the issuance of a state gambling license" under the Gambling Control Act. (Section 62 (a) of Stats. 1997, c 867 (S.B. 8).

1	On October 20, 2000, the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice,
2	notified Respondent that the California Attorney General, after review, upheld the
3	recommendation to deny Respondent's application for a state gambling license.
4	On November 14, 2000, Respondent was served with a Cease and Desist Order
5	filed by the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice.
6	On November 17, 2000, the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice,
7	notified Respondent that his temporary Provisional License was terminated.
8	On January 1, 2001, the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice,
9	issued Respondent a temporary Provisional License through December 31, 2001. He was
0	allowed to operate the Outpost Casino pending litigation in Sacramento Superior Court, entitled
1	Mark S. Luciano v. Department of Justice, Division of Gambling Control.
2	On February 20, 2001, the Division of Gambling Control's denial of
3	Respondent's application was stayed by Sacramento County Superior Court, Judge L. Connelly.
4	On July 17, 2001, the Division of Gambling Control advised Respondent that his
5	application would be denied. A pre-denial hearing was scheduled.
6	On September 26, 2001, the pre-denial hearing was conducted.
7	On October 4, 2001, the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice,
8	issued a recommendation to the California Gambling Control Commission to deny to
9	Respondent a gambling license.
0.	On December 19, 2001, the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice,
1	extended Respondent's temporary Provisional License through December 31, 2002, pending a
22	resolution of his gambling license application. Prior to this time, there has never been a
23	complete background investigation on Respondent's application for a CRC or a determination
24	that Respondent is suitable to hold a gambling license.
25	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
26	3 Rusiness and Professions Code section 19848 A subdivision (a) and (b)

27

provides:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

"No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is all of the following:

- "(a) A person of good character, honesty, and integrity.
- "(b) A person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial arrangements incidental thereto."
- 4. Business and Professions Code section 19850 provides:
 - "(a) The commission shall deny a license to any applicant who is disqualified for any of the following reasons:
 - "(1) Failure of the applicant to clearly establish eligibility and qualification in accordance with this chapter.
 - "(2) Failure of the applicant to provide information, documentation, and assurances required by this chapter or requested by the director, or failure of the applicant to reveal any fact material to qualification or the supplying of information that is untrue or misleading as to a material fact pertaining to the qualification criteria."
- 5. Business and Professions Code section 19854A provides:
- "(a) An applicant for licensing or for any approval or consent required by this chapter, shall make full and true disclosure of all information to the division and the commission as necessary to carry out the policies of this state relating to licensing, registration, and control of gambling.
- 6. Business and Professions Code section 19910.5A provides:
- "(a)(1) A person shall not be employed as a gambling enterprise employee, or serve as an independent agent, except as provided in paragraph (2), unless he or she is the holder of one of the following:
- "(A) A valid work permit issued in accordance with the applicable ordinance or regulations of the county, city, or city and county in which his or hers duties are performed."
- 7. Business and Professions Code section 19917 provides:

"No owner licensee shall operate a gambling enterprise in violation of any governing local ordinance."

8. California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 2050, subdivision (a)

1	provides:	
2	1	"A gambling establishment shall have on the premises, at all times that the establishment is open to the public, an owner licensee or a key employee who shall have the responsibility and
3		authority to ensure immediate compliance with the [Gambling Control] Act and the regulations."
4		9. Penal Code section 319 provides:
5	·	"A lottery is any scheme for the disposal or distribution of
6		property by chance, among persons who have paid or promised to pay any valuable consideration for the chance of obtaining such
7.		property or a portion of it, or for any share or any interest in such property, upon any agreement, understanding, or expectation that it
8		is to be distributed or disposed of by lot or chance, whether called a lottery, raffle, or gift enterprise, or by whatever name the same
9		may be known."
10		10. Penal Code section 320 provides:
11		"Every person who contrives, prepares, sets up, proposes, or draws a lottery, is guilty of a misdemeanor."
12		
13	,	11. Penal Code section 330 provides:
14	.*	"Every person who deals, plays, or carries on, opens, or causes to be opened, or who conducts, either as an owner or employee, whether for hire or not any banking or percentage
15		game is guilty of a misdemeanor "
16		12. San Ramon City Ordinance section B1-7B provides:
17		"No person who has or should have a cardroom license
18		shall allow any other person to commit acts contrary to subsection C of this section or Section B1-32D."
19		13. San Ramon City Ordinance section B1-32F provides:
20		"No licensee, owner, manager, or employee or any other
21		person associated with, employed by, or acting as agent for, any cardroom licensed by this chapter, will engage in lending of
22		money, chips, tokens or anything of value, either real or promised, to any customer, player or any person for the purpose of allowing that person to eat, drink or play cards within said cardroom."
23	///	mai person to cat, urnix or pray cards within said cardiooni.
24	///	
25	///	•
26	///	

27

VIOLATIONS

I.

· ·

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

NO CREDIT ALLOWED

Outpost Casino patrons. As of March 19, 2000, Respondent had extended credit to over 130 casino customers in an amount exceeding \$100,000. The duties of the General Manager of the casino, as listed in the business records, include "assist . . . credit and all operational issues of the business." As of March 25, 2000, Respondent had extended \$152,585 in outstanding credit issued to casino patrons. Respondent extended credit to casino patrons in violation of San Ramon Ordinance section B1-32F.

Π.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

CARDROOM EMPLOYEE WITHOUT VALID WORK PERMIT

15. During the period February - March 2000, Hai Huynh was employed at the Outpost Casino, Asian-California game section, without a work permit from the San Ramon Police Department as required by Business and Professions Code section 19910.5A, and San Ramon Ordinance section B1-7B.

Ш.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

PENAL CODE SECTION 319 - ILLEGAL LOTTERY

16. On February 29, 2000, Respondent submitted a belated bi-annual gaming activity report for the Outpost Casino. The report was due January 1, 2000. The report contained a description of gaming activities conducted at the Outpost Casino during the previous

1	S
2	G
3	1
4	R
5	W
6	W
7	
8	C
9	10
10	S
11	c
12	"
13	C
14	
15	
16	

six months. Included in the report were Outpost Casino games of Double Hand Poker "Rolex Giveaway;" an Omaha "Bad Beat Jackpot;" and a Hold'em "Bad Beat Jackpot." On February 18, 2000, the Outpost Casino used a banner advertising the Hold'em "Bad Beat Jackpot" game. Respondent represented in the report that he has played a "Rolex Giveaway" game. A Rolex watch is awarded to the player who "has the most points at the end of four hours;" and players who pay the "standard collection rates" received hands. This game constituted an illegal lottery.

Giveaway," Omaha "Bad Beat Jackpot" and Hold'em "Bad Beat Jackpot" games are each illegal lotteries. Respondent violated Penal Code section 320, when Respondent contrived, prepared, set up, proposed or drew a lottery at the Outpost Casino. Regardless of the player's skill level, chance determines whether a player holds the losing hand that wins the "Bad Beat Jackpot." The "Rolex Giveaway;" Omaha and Hold'em "Bad Beat" jackpot games conducted at the Outpost Casino are each illegal lotteries in violation of Penal Code section 320.

IV.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

BANKED GAMES³

- 18. On June 3, 1999, Respondent was advised by Division agents that banked games were prohibited by Penal Code section 330. Respondent was also informed that he personally could not bank games.
- 19. On or about February 18, 2000, Respondent while at the Outpost Casino, played in the Pai Gow Poker game as a player, a player banker, and as a backline banker where he financially backed another player in the banker position at the table with whom he split the winnings and losses. This conduct constituted a banked game in violation of Penal Code section

27

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

²⁶

^{3. &}quot;Those games in which there is a person or entity that participates in the action as 'the one against the many,' taking on all comers, paying all winners, and collecting from all losers,' doing so through a fund generally called the bank." (Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union v. Gray Davis (1999) 21 Cal.4th 585, 592.)

·19

	20.	On March 1, 2000, at the Outpost C	Casino,	Respondent	t banked blac	kjack
games.	Respondent	banked numerous blackjack hands in	violati	on of Penal	Code section	330.

- 21. On March 9, 2000, Respondent played and banked the Pai Gow Poker game at the Outpost Casino. This conduct constituted a banked game in violation of Penal Code section 330.
- 22. On March 14, 2000, Respondent played and banked in the Pai Gow Poker games at the Outpost Casino. This conduct constituted a banked game in violation of Penal Code section 330.

V.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

FAILURE TO MAKE FULL AND TRUE DISCLOSURE

23. <u>FALSE STATEMENT REGARDING EMPLOYMENT OF HAI HUYNH</u>

In a March 17, 2000, interview with Division agents, Respondent failed to make a full and true disclosure regarding the employment of Hai Huynh. Respondent falsely represented that Hai Huynh did not work at the Outpost Casino. Respondent falsely represented that Hai Huynh simply "comes in quite often," but does not work at the casino. In fact, Hai Huynh operated the Asian-California game room at the Outpost Casino. He worked as a key employee at the Outpost Casino. Respondent failed to make a full and true disclosure regarding the employment of Hai Huynh in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a).

24. <u>EMPLOYEE - PROP PLAYER HIRING PRACTICES</u>

On March 17, 2000, in an interview with Division of Gambling Control agents,
Respondent falsely represented that "prop players⁴ were only used in poker games; prop players

^{4. &}quot;Prop player" is a term of art, unique to California which denotes a person hired or employed by the gambling establishment to participate in a game in order to promote the game or to have sufficient players to conduct a game.

clock in and out on the time clock like other Outpost Casino employees; and that they are paid through regular payroll." Respondent also falsely represented that Outpost casino employee Sonny Nguyen, was the Manager of the Asian-California games but does "not hire or fire employees." Respondent represented that he and Sonny Nguyen together interviewed all prospective employees. In fact, the employee prop players are not paid through regular payroll. Prop players are involved in other games at the Outpost Casino. Sonny Nguyen interviews and hires employees without Respondent. Respondent failed to make full and true disclosures regarding prop players and the authority of Sonny Nguyen to hire employees at the Outpost Casino. These false statements violated Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a).

25. BANK GAMES

In an March 17, 2000, interview with Division of Gambling Control agents, Respondent falsely represented that all losses from his personal play at the Outpost Casino card tables are made up from his personal account "monthly." In fact, Respondent wrote off his personal gaming losses as a "promotional expense." Respondent failed to make full and true disclosures regarding how his gambling losses were handled at the Outpost Casino in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a), in that Respondent did not make up his losses from personal play. Respondent attempted to conceal that he engaged in illegal banked games. Bank games were conducted at the Outpost Casino in violation of Penal Code section 330.

26. GAMING ACTIVITY REPORT

On a Gaming Activity Report submitted by Respondent on February 29, 2000, is a drawing held on February 20, 2000, for a 1999 Jeep Wrangler. Respondent represented that "no purchase (is) necessary to be eligible to win the Jeep." This was a false statement. Only paying players were eligible for the Jeep drawing. Respondent failed to make a full and true disclosure that a purchase was necessary for the drawing in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a). The Jeep Wrangler giveaway was a lottery prohibited by

Penal Code section 319 and 320.

27. EMPLOYEE LIST

On February 29, 2000, Respondent submitted to the Division of Gambling Control, Department of Justice, a complete list of all Outpost Casino employees. Respondent failed to list Sonny Nguyen or Hai Huynh as employees. Respondent failed to make a full and true disclosure regarding the employment of Sonny Nguyen and Hai Huynh in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a).

28. Furthermore, on the Outpost Casino Key Employee Report and Employee Roster dated September 17, 2001, Respondent failed to list Sonny Nguyen as an employee. Yet in promotional materials the Outpost Casino advertised "Double Hand Poker," dated December 2, 2001, with the contact person listed as "Sonny Nguyen (408) 439-1654." Respondent failed to make a full and true disclosure regarding the employment of Sonny Nguyen in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a).

29. LITIGATION HISTORY

On an application filed on February 2, 1999, Respondent represented in the litigation history section that he had not "been a party to a lawsuit as either a plaintiff or defendant." This was a false statement. Respondent was the plaintiff in an action entitled, *Luciano v. Schireck*, Superior Court, Contra Costa County, Case No. C98-03569, filed August 20, 1998. Respondent failed to make a full and true disclosure regarding his litigation history in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19854A, subdivision (a).

VI.

SIXTH CAUSE OF FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL ORDINANCE

30. Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 19917 by operating the Outpost Casino in violation of the San Ramon City Ordinance as outlined herein. Respondent granted credit to Outpost Casino patrons in violation of San Ramon Ordinance B1-32F.

VII.

2 ·

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

27

26

28

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND

TERMINATION OF PROVISIONAL LICENSE

REGULATION VIOLATION

31. California Code of Regulations, Title 11, section 2050, subdivision (a) requires that a gambling establishment shall have on the premises, at all times that the establishment is open to the public, an owner licensee or a key employee who shall have the responsibility and authority to ensure immediate compliance with the Gambling Control Act and regulations. On March 23, 2000, the Outpost Casino was open for business without an owner licensee or key employee present in the casino. The absence of an owner licensee or key employee on March 23, 2000, violates California Code of Regulations, Title 11, Section 2050, subdivision (a).

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant request that a hearing be held on the matters alleged herein, and that following the hearing, the California Gambling Control Commission issue a decision:

- 1. Deny the application of Mark S. Luciano for a California Gambling License.
- 2. Deny the application of Mark S. Luciano for a Gambling License to operate the Outpost Casino, 2251 San Ramon Valley Drive, San Ramon, CA 94583.
- 3. Terminate the temporary Provisional License to operate the OUTPOST CASINO.
- 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: <u>3/1/_____</u>, 2002

2 1

HARLAN W. GOODSON

DIRECTOR

DIVISION OF GAMBLING CONTROL STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COMPLAINANT