XAVIER BECERRA	
Sara J. Drake	RECEIVED
RONALD L. DIEDRICH	By CGCC Legal Division at 11:42 am, 7/14/17
WILLIAM P. TORNGREN	
State Bar No. 58493	
P.O. Box 944255	
Telephone: (916) 323-3033	
E-mail: William.Torngren@doj.ca.gov	
morneys for the Complainant	
BEFORI	ETHE
CALIFORNIA GAMBLING (	CONTROL COMMISSION
STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA
	]
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	OAH No.
STAR'S CASINO, formerly known as the Comstock Casino (GEGE-001082);	BGC Case No. BGC-HQ2017-00002AL
JOSEPH A. MELECH (GEOW-002594);	ACCUSATION
MONICA M. MELECH (GEOW-002593);	
775 West Clover Road Tracy, CA 95376	
Respondents.	
	J
Complainant alleges as follows:	
	brings this Accusation solely in his official
capacity as the Director of the California Departn	nent of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control
(Bureau).	
	Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Senior Assistant Attorney General RONALD L. DIEDRICH Deputy Attorney General WILLIAM P. TORNGREN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 58493 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 323-3033 Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: William.Torngren@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for the Complainant  BEFORI  CALIFORNIA GAMBLING O STATE OF CA  In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  STAR'S CASINO, formerly known as the Comstock Casino (GEGE-001082);  JOSEPH A. MELECH (GEOW-002594);  MONICA M. MELECH (GEOW-002593);  775 West Clover Road Tracy, CA 95376  Respondents.  Complainant alleges as follows:  PART  1. Wayne J. Quint, Jr. (Complainant) capacity as the Director of the California Departney

Accusation – Star's Casino

in Appendix A.

\_

8. In a matter involving revocation or suspension, the Bureau may recover its costs of investigation and prosecuting the proceeding. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19930, subd. (d).)

### **THE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE**

9. Operating a card room in California is a revocable privilege. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19801, subd. (k).) The Act provides for comprehensive regulation to prevent that privilege from being abused. The Commission's powers include approving transactions as provided in the Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19824, subd. (c).) The Act directs that every applicant for licensing or any approval required by the Act make full and true disclosure of all information necessary to carry out the state's policies relating to licensing and control of gambling. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19866.)

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR REVOCATION

# (Unqualified for Continued Licensure)

- 10. Respondents' gambling licenses are subject to revocation, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19823 and 19857, subdivisions (a) and (b), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12568, subdivision (c)(3). Respondents' conduct in their dealings with the Commission and the Bureau demonstrate that they are unqualified for licensure. That conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following acts and omissions:
  - Respondents failed to timely make full and true disclosure of information necessary to carry out the state's policies relating to licensing and control of gambling. Respondents did not disclose agreements regarding financing and ownership arrangements. Respondents received more than \$1 million from their co-venturer. The loan convertible at the co-venturer's option into an ownership interest in Star's Casino. The loan proceeds were used to remodel and relocate the gambling establishment. Respondents' co-venturer was not licensed as an owner of, or financial interest holder in, Star's Casino. In sum, Respondents entered into a venture with an unlicensed person to remodel premises, relocate the gambling establishment, and become a co-owner. This breached Respondents' duties under the Act and made them unqualified for licensing.

- b. Even though Respondents had license applications and other approvals pending before the Commission, they failed to fully disclose the venture, the financing sources, and giving an option to acquire an ownership. These acts and omissions breached Respondents' duties under the Act and made them unqualified for licensing.
- c. Respondents engaged in patterns and practices that demonstrate a substantial disregard for prudent and usual business practices. They failed to fully document the transactions by which they obtained more than \$1 million and gave their co-venturer a right to obtain an ownership interest in Star's Casino. For nearly three years, Respondents provided incomplete transactional documents to the Bureau. When asked for complete transactional documents, Respondents through their designated agent made false or misleading statements to the Bureau. Respondents' disregard for prudent and usual business practices and providing false or misleading information to the Bureau pose a threat to the public interest and the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling. Respondents engaged in unsuitable patterns and practices in the conduct of controlled gambling or in carrying on the business and financial arrangements incidental to controlled gambling. This made Respondents unqualified for licensing.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR REVOCATION

# (Disqualification: Failing To Reveal Material Information to the Bureau)

11. Respondents' gambling licenses are subject to revocation, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19823, 19857, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 19859, subdivisions (a) and (b), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12568, subdivisions (c)(3) and (4). Respondents breached their duty of full and true disclosure to the Commission and the Bureau. Respondents failed to reveal to, and concealed from, the Bureau material information regarding a financial interest holder, indebtedness, and a prospective ownership interest, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. Respondents failed to timely make full and true disclosure of information necessary to carry out the state's policies relating to licensing and control of gambling. Respondents did not disclose agreements regarding financing and ownership arrangements. Respondents received more than \$1 million from their co-venturer. The loan was convertible at the co-venturer's option into an ownership interest in Star's Casino. The loan proceeds were used to remodel and relocate the gambling establishment. Respondents' co-venturer was not licensed as an owner or financial interest holder in Star's Casino. In sum, Respondents entered into a venture with an unlicensed person to remodel premises, relocate the gambling establishment, and become a co-owner. This information was material to Respondents' qualification for licensure under the Act. These acts and omissions breached Respondents' duties under the Act and disqualified them from licensing.
- b. Even though Respondents had license applications and other approvals pending before the Commission, they failed to fully disclose the venture, the financing sources, and giving an option to acquire an ownership. This information was material to Respondent's qualification for licensure under the Act. These acts and omissions breached Respondents' duties under the Act and disqualified them from licensing.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR REVOCATION

## (Disqualification: Providing Untrue or Misleading Material Information)

12. Respondents' gambling licenses are subject to revocation, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19823, 19857, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 19859, subdivisions (a) and (b), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12568, subdivisions (c)(3) and (4). Respondents breached their duty of full and true disclosure to the Commission and the Bureau. Respondents provided the Bureau with untrue or misleading material information pertaining to the qualification criteria for licensing. Specifically, among other things, Respondents repeatedly represented through an agent that all documents for the proposed

1	transactions had been provided to the Bureau; Respondents' agent also represented that their
2	attorney felt complete documentation of the proposed transactions was not required. These
3	representation thus were untrue or misleading.
4	PRAYER
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
6	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Commission issue a decision:
7	<ol> <li>Revoking California State Gambling License Number GEGE-001082, issued to</li> </ol>
8	Respondent Star's Casino;
9	2. Revoking California State Gambling License Number GEOW-002594, issued to
10	Respondent Joseph A. Melech;
11	3. Revoking California State Gambling License Number GEOW-002593, issued to
12	Respondent Monica M. Melech;
13	<ol> <li>Imposing monetary penalties against Respondents, jointly and severally,</li> </ol>
14	according to proof and to the maximum extent allowed by law;
15	<ol> <li>Awarding Complainant the costs of investigation and costs of bringing this</li> </ol>
16	Accusation before the Commission, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19930,
17	subdivisions (d) and (f), in a sum according to proof; and
18	<ol> <li>Taking such other and further action as the Commission may deem appropriate.</li> </ol>
19	
20	Dated: July 14, 2017 Wayn Cult
21	WAYNE J. QUINT, JR., Director Bureau of Gambling Control
22	California Department of Justice
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1		APPENDIX A – STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2		Jurisdictional and Standard of Proof Provisions
3	1.	Business and Professions Code section 19811 provides, in part:
4		(b) Jurisdiction, including jurisdiction over operation and
5		concentration, and supervision over gambling establishments in this state and over all persons or things having to do with the operations of gambling
6		establishments is vested in the commission.
7	2.	Business and Professions Code section 19823 provides:
8		(a) The responsibilities of the commission include, without limitation,
9		all of the following:
10		(1) Assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not issued
11		to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the
12		public health, safety, or welfare.
13		(2) Assuring that there is no material involvement, directly or indirectly, with a licensed gambling operation, or the ownership or
14		management thereof, by unqualified or disqualified persons, or by
15		persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare.
16		(b) For the purposes of this section, "unqualified person" means a
17 18		person who is found to be unqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 19857, and "disqualified person" means a person who is found to
	2	be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 19859.
19	3.	Business and Professions Code section 19824 provides, in part:
20		The commission shall have all powers necessary and proper to enable it fully and effectually to carry out the policies and purposes of this
21		chapter, including, without limitation, the power to do all of the following:
22		* * *  (b) For any cause deemed reasonable by the commission limit
23		(b) For any cause deemed reasonable by the commission, limit, condition, or restrict any license, permit, or approval, or impose any fine
24		upon any person licensed or approved. The commission may condition, restrict, discipline, or take action against the license of an individual owner
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		endorsed on the license certificate of the gambling enterprise whether or not the commission takes action against the license of the gambling
27		enterprise.
		* * *
28		

Accusation - Star's Casino

1	(1) Revoke the license, registration, permit, finding of suitability,	
2	or approval;	
3	(2) Suspend the license, registration, or permit;	
4	* * *	
5	(5) Impose any fine or monetary penalty consistent with	
6	Business and Professions Code sections 19930, subdivision (c), and 19943, subdivision (b)	
7		
8	Cost Recovery Provisions	
9	6. Business and Professions Code section 19930 provides, in part:	
0	(b) If, after any investigation, the department is satisfied that a license, permit, finding of suitability, or approval should be suspended or revoked, it	
1 2	shall file an accusation with the commission in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.	
3	* * *	
4		
5	(d) In any case in which the administrative law judge recommends that the commission revoke, suspend, or deny a license, the administrative law	
6	judge may, upon presentation of suitable proof, order the licensee or applicant for a license to pay the department the reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.	
7		
8	(1) The costs assessed pursuant to this subdivision shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and may not be increased by the	
20	commission. When the commission does not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to the administrative law judge, the administrative	
21	law judge may not increase the amount of any costs assessed in the proposed decision.	
22	(2) The department may enforce the order for payment in the	
23	superior court in the county in which the administrative hearing was held. The right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights	
24	that the division may have as to any licensee to pay costs.	
25	(3) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the	
26	commission's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.	
27	* * *	

1	9.	Business and Professions Code section 19852 provides, in part:
2 3		[A]n owner of a gambling enterprise that is not a natural person shall not be eligible for a state gambling license unless each of the following
4		persons individually applies for an obtains a state gambling license:
5		* * *
6		(i) Every employee, agent, guardian, personal representative,
7		lender, or holder of indebtedness of the owner who, in the judgment of the commission, has the power to exercise significant influence over the gambling operation.
8		
9	10.	Business and Professions Code section 19855 provides, in part:
1		[E]very person who, by statute or regulation, is required to hold a state license shall obtain the license prior to engaging in the activity or occupying the position with respect to which the license is required.
2	11	Business and Professions Code section 19857 provides:
3	11.	No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all the
4		information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that the applicant is all of the following:
5		(a) A person of good character, honesty and integrity.
6		(b) A person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any,
7		reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of
8		controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable, unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of
9		controlled gambling or in the carrying on of the business and financial
20		arrangements incidental thereto.  (c) A person that is in all other respects qualified to be licensed as
21		provided in this chapter.
22	12.	Business and Professions Code section 19859 provides, in part:
23		The commission shall deny a license to any applicant who is
24		disqualified for any of the following reasons:  (a) Failure of the applicant to clearly establish eligibility and
25		qualification in accordance with this chapter.
26		(b) Failure of the applicant to provide information, documentation, and assurances required by the Chief, or failure of
27		the applicant to reveal any fact material to qualification, or the supplying of information that is untrue or misleading as to a material
28		fact pertaining to the qualification criteria.

1		13.	Business and Professions Code section 19866 provides:
2			An applicant for licensing or for any approval or consent required by this chapter, shall make full and true disclosure of all information
3			to the department and the commission as necessary to carry out the
4			policies of this state relating to licensing, registration, and control of gambling.
5		14.	Business and Professions Code section 19920 provides:
6			It is the policy of the State of California to require that all establishments wherein controlled gambling is conducted in this state
7			be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety,
8			and general welfare of the residents of the state. The responsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation
9			rests with the owner licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable by the commission or by
1			local government shall constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action.
2		1.5	Dysin aga and Dusfassions Code section 10022 massides.
3		15.	Business and Professions Code section 19922 provides:
4			No owner licensee shall operate a gambling enterprise in violation of any provision of this chapter or any regulation adopted pursuant to
5			this chapter.
6		16.	California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12556, subdivision (c), provides, in
7	part:		
8			A state gambling license, finding of suitability, or approval granted
9			by the Commission and an owner license for a gambling establishment if the owner licensee has committed a separate violation
20			from any violations committed by the gambling establishment shall be subject to revocation by the Commission on any of the following
21			grounds:  * * *
22			(3) If the Commission finds the holder no longer meets
23			any criterion for eligibility, qualification, suitability or continued operation, including those set forth in Business and Professions
24			Code section 19857, 19858, or 19880, as applicable, or
25			(4) If the Commission finds the holder currently meets any of the criteria for mandatory denial of an application set forth
26			in Business and Professions Code sections 19859 or 19860.
27			
28			