RECEIVED

		By CGCC Legal Division at 9:30 am, Oct 06, 2023
1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
2	T. MICHELLE LAIRD	
3	Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General NEIL D. HOUSTON	
4	Deputy Attorney General, SBN 168058 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
	P.O. Box 944255	
5	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7812	
6	Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: Neil.Houston@doj.ca.gov	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BE	FORE THE
10	CALIFORNIA GAMBLI	ING CONTROL COMMISSION
11	STATE C	OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Reasons for	
14	Denial of Application for Approval of Third- Party Proposition Player Services Supervisor License for:	CGCC Case No: CGCC-2023-0223-7A
15	License for:	
16	BINH QUANG HO	STATEMENT OF REASONS
17		Hearing Date: November 27, 2023
18	TP Supervisor License No. TPSU-002040	Hearing Date: November 27, 2023 Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. Hearing Place: 2399 Gateway Oaks, Ste. 100,
19		Sacramento, CA 95833
20	Responde	nt. This hearing will be conducted by means of
21	-	video conferencing on Zoom. The Zoom meeting code is 285 757 8614
22		
23	Complainant alleges as follows:	
24	<u> </u>	PARTIES PARTIES
25	1. Yolanda Morrow (Complainant)	brings this Statement of Reasons solely in her
26		Department of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control
27	(Bureau).	,
28	(
20		1

2. Binh Quang Ho (Respondent) is an applicant for approval of a Third-Party Proposition Player Services (TPPPS) Supervisor License.

THE APPLICATION AND THIS PROCEEDING

- 3. On April 15, 2022, the Bureau received an initial application for a TPPPS Supervisor License for Respondent (Application) for Blackstone Gaming, LLC. The Application included a Commission Key Employee or TPPPS Supervisor Supplemental Information form (CGCC-CH2-08 (Rev. 03/21)) (Supplemental Information Form). Also on April 15, 2022, the Bureau received Respondent's Appointment of Designated Agent, designating Michelle Fernandez of Blackstone Gaming, LLC, as his designated agent. Ms. Fernandez had acknowledged the appointment on April 2, 2022. On April 28, 2022, Respondent was issued Temporary Third-Party Proposition Player Services Supervisor License Number TPSU-002040, which is valid through April 30, 2024. Respondent was previously issued a Third-Party Proposition Player Services Worker License Number TPWK-001525, which remains active.
- 4. The Bureau conducted an initial background investigation with respect to the Application. Respondent's Supplemental Information Form disclosed that on approximately February 27, 2000, Respondent was convicted of Penal Code section 466 (Possession of Burglary Tools), a misdemeanor, in Los Angeles County Superior Court (Alhambra) Case Number 0AL 00846, and that the conviction was later dismissed/expunged. Respondent provided a written statement dated April 2, 2022, concerning the circumstances of this conviction. The Bureau's investigation confirmed that this conviction was set aside and dismissed on April 6, 2018, by the Los Angeles County Superior Court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4. The Bureau's investigation also determined that there were discrepancies between Respondent's explanatory statement and the San Gabriel Police Department's report concerning the incident.
- 5. The Bureau's background investigation also determined that Respondent had been issued a security guard's license by the Bureau of Security and Investigative Services (BSIS) on December 9, 1999, and that BSIS revoked this license on September 19, 2001 on the basis of the conviction described in paragraph 4, above. Respondent did not disclose this license revocation in his Supplemental Information Form.

- 6. On the basis of the Application and the Bureau's background investigation, on May 20, 2022, the Bureau requested additional information and documentation from Respondent concerning the issuance and revocation of Respondent's BSIS security guard license, and to explain why Respondent failed to provide information concerning this in his Supplemental Information Form. On May 28, 2022, Respondent provided a written response to this request indicating, in substance, that he held this license for a short time over 20 years ago and he had forgotten that he had held it.
- 7. On July 28, 2022, the Bureau requested additional information concerning discrepancies between Respondent's April 2, 2022, written statement concerning his misdemeanor conviction for possession of burglary tools, and the police report subsequently obtained by the Bureau during its investigation. On August 6, 2022, Respondent provided a written response (dated August 4, 2022) to this request.
- 8. On December 12, 2022, representatives of Bureau met with Respondent and his Designated Agent, Michelle Fernandez, by telephone and informed them generally of the basis for the Bureau's recommendation to deny Respondent's application for licensure.
- 9. Also on December 12, 2022, the Bureau issued its Third Party Supervisor Initial Background Investigation Report recommending that Respondent's application be denied on the ground that Respondent had provided the Bureau with false and misleading information regarding his 2000 misdemeanor conviction, and had failed to disclose information concerning the issuance and revocation of his prior non-gaming license (security guard) in his Supplemental Information Form. The Bureau also recommended that the California Gambling Control Commission (Commission) revoke Respondent's active license, TPWK-001535.
- 10. At its February 23, 2023 meeting, the Commission referred this matter to an evidentiary hearing before the Commission pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, Division 18, Chapter 1, section 12054(a)(4). Respondent was notified of this by letter on

¹ The statutes and regulations applicable to this Statement of Reasons are quoted in pertinent part in Appendix A, which is incorporated herein by this reference.

1	February 27, 2023, and was provided with a Notice of Defense form, which Respondent filed
2	with the Bureau on March 16, 2023.
3	11. On August 18, 2023, the Commission's staff notified Respondent that an evidentiary
4	hearing would be held before the Commission starting at 10:00 a.m. on November 27, 2023, and
5	that the meeting would be conducted by Zoom, and that a prehearing conference would be held
6	on October 9, 2023, also by Zoom.
7	BURDEN OF PROOF
8	12. Respondent has the burden of proving that he is qualified to receive a license. (Bus.
9	& Prof. Code, § 19856, subd. (a).)
10	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL
11	(Failure to Establish Qualification for Licensure)
12	13. As described above, Respondent has failed to establish that Respondent is a person of
13	good character, honesty, and integrity, and has further failed to establish that Respondent's prior
14	activities, criminal record, and habits do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to
15	the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling. The Application is therefore subject
16	to discretionary denial under Business and Professions Code section 19857. Alternatively, the
17	Application is therefore subject to mandatory denial under Business and Professions Code section
18	19859, subdivision (b).
19	(Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 19856, 19857, subds. (a) & (b), 19859, subd. (b); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4,
20	§12040, subd. (a).)
21	<u>PRAYER</u>
22	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
23	and that following the hearing, the Commission issue a decision:
24	1. Denying Respondent's Application for Approval of a Third-Party Proposition Player
25	Services Supervisor License;
26	2. Revoking Respondent's active Third-Party Proposition Player Services Worker
27	License Number TPWK-001535; and
28	

1	3.	Taking such other and further a	action as the Commission may deem appropriate.
2			
3	Dated:	October <u>5</u> , 2023	Volume Monnow Director
4			YOLANDA MORROW, Director Bureau of Gambling Control
5			California Department of Justice Complainant
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			5

STATEMENT OF REASONS (BING QUANG HO)

1		APPENDIX A
2		BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
3	1.	Business and Professions Code section 19811, subdivision (b), provides:
4		Jurisdiction, including jurisdiction over operation and
5		concentration, and supervision over gambling establishments in this state and over all persons or things having to do with the operation of
6		gambling establishments is vested in the commission.
7	2.	Business and Professions Code section 19823 provides:
8		(a) The responsibilities of the commission include, without limitation, all of the following:
9		(1) Assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not
10 11		issued to, or held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare.
12		(2) Assuring that there is no material involvement,
13		directly or indirectly, with a licensed gambling operation, or the ownership or management thereof, by unqualified or
14		disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health,
15		safety, or welfare.
16		(b) For the purposes of this section, "unqualified person" means a person who is found to be unqualified pursuant to the criteria set
17		forth in Section 19857, and "disqualified person" means a person who is found to be disqualified pursuant to the criteria set forth in Section 19859.
18		
19	3.	Business and Professions Code section 19824 provides, in part:
20		The commission shall have all powers necessary and proper to enable it fully and effectually to carry out the policies and purposes of
this chapter, [2] including, without limitation, the	this chapter, [2] including, without limitation, the power to do all of the following:	
22		* * *
23		(b) For any cause deemed reasonable by the commission deny
24		(b) For any cause deemed reasonable by the commission, deny any application for a license, permit, or approval provided for in this about a provided and approved to this about a limit.
25		chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to this chapter, limit, condition, or restrict any license, permit, or approval, or impose any
26		fine upon any person licensed or approved. The commission may condition, restrict, discipline, or take action against the license of an
27	2 "	Chapter" refers to Business and Professions Code, division 8, chapter 5, (commencing
28	willi sectio	n 19800), also known as the Gambling Control Act.
	I	6

1		individual owner endorsed on the license certificate of the gambling
2		enterprise whether or not the commission takes action against the license of the gambling enterprise.
3		* * *
4		(d) Take actions deemed to be reasonable to ensure that no ineligible, unqualified, disqualified, or unsuitable persons are
5		associated with controlled gambling activities.
6		
7	4.	Business and Professions Code section 19856 provides:
8		(a) Any person who the commission determines is qualified to receive a state license, having due consideration for the proper
9		protection of the health, safety, and general welfare of the residents of the State of California and the declared policy of this state, may be
10		issued a license. The burden of proving his or her qualifications to receive any license is on the applicant.
11		(b) An application to receive a license constitutes a request for a
12		determination of the applicant's general character, integrity, and ability to participate in, engage in, or be associated with, controlled gambling.
13		(c) In reviewing an application for any license, the commission shall consider whether issuance of the license is inimical to public
14		health, safety, or welfare, and whether issuance of the license will undermine public trust that the gambling operations with respect to
15 16		which the license would be issued are free from criminal and dishonest elements and would be conducted honestly.
17	5.	Business and Professions Code section 19857 provides:
18		No gambling license shall be issued unless, based on all of the information and documents submitted, the commission is satisfied that
19		the applicant is all of the following:
20		(a) A person of good character, honesty, and integrity.
21		(b) A person whose prior activities, criminal record, if any, reputation, habits, and associations do not pose a threat to the public
22		interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of controlled gambling, or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable,
23		unfair, or illegal practices, methods, and activities in the conduct of controlled gambling, or in the carrying on of the business and financial
24		arrangements incidental thereto.
25		(c) A person that is in all other respects qualified to be licensed as provided in this chapter.
26		1
27		
28		

1	California GCA hearing, if the request is timely made on the initial Notice of Defense form and meets all of the following criteria:
2 3	(A) The GCA hearing is estimated by Commission staff to last no longer than four hours.
4	(B) The primary residence of the applicant is located in
5	one of the following counties: Imperial, Kern, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, San Luis Obispo, San Bernardino, Santa Barbara, or Ventura.
6	
7 8	(C) A GCA hearing will be noticed for a Southern California location only when it is in the best public interest, promotes judicial economy, and comports with the Commission's availability.
	·
9 10	(2) If at any time before the hearing, the Executive Director determines that the criteria in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of paragraph (1) are no longer met, Commission staff may cancel the
11	Southern California GCA hearing and issue a new notice for a hearing at the Commission's principal office in Sacramento.
12	(d) The presiding officer and her or his support staff will have no communication with the Commission or Commission staff upon the
13	merits of an application prior to the evidentiary hearing. The Executive Director will designate a presiding officer which will be:
14	(1) A member of the Commission's legal staff; or,
15	(2) An Administrative Law Judge.
16 17	(e) The applicant or the complainant, or the applicant and the
18	complainant, may request a continuance in writing to the Executive Director stating the reason for the continuance and any proposed future hearing dates. The Executive Director or Commission may approve the
19	request. For a Southern California GCA hearing, if a continuance is granted, the hearing may be scheduled in Sacramento or Southern
20	California based on the criteria specified in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of paragraph (1) of subsection (c).
21	(f) The complainant will provide to the applicant, subject to
22	subsection (b) of Section 12056, at least 45 calendar days prior to the GCA hearing, and the applicant must provide to the complainant, at least 30 calendar days prior to the GCA hearing, the following items:
23	
24	(1) A list of potential witnesses with the general subject of the testimony of each witness;
25	(2) Copies of all documentary evidence intended to be introduced at the hearing and not previously provided;
26	(3) Reports or statement of parties and witnesses, if
27	available; and
28	(4) All other written comments or writing containing relevant
I	11

1	evidence.
2 3	(g) A presiding officer will rule on the admissibility of evidence and on any objections raised except for objections raised under subsection(h). A ruling by the presiding officer is final.
4	(1) In advance of the GCA hearing, upon a motion of a party
5	or by order of the presiding officer, the presiding officer may conduct a pre-hearing conference, either in person, via teleconference, or by email exchange, subject to the presiding
6	officer's availability and will issue a pre-hearing order if
7	appropriate or requested by either party. The pre-hearing conference and order may address the following.
8	(A) Evidentiary issues;
9	(B) Witness and exhibit lists;
10	(C) Alterations in the Bureau recommendation;
11	(D) Stipulations for undisputed facts and/or the admission of evidence including without limitation the
12	Bureau's report;
13	(E) Authorizing offsite livestreaming appearances for parties or witnesses if good cause has been presented and
14	only if the process for offsite livestreaming has been approved by the Executive Director; and,
15	
16	(F) Other issues that may be deemed appropriate to promote the orderly and prompt conduct of the hearing.
17	(2) The GCA hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules of evidence. Any relevant evidence may be
18	considered, and is sufficient in itself to support findings if it is the sort of evidence on which reasonable persons are accustomed to
19	rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of any common law or statutory rule that might make improper the
20	admission of that evidence over objection in a civil action.
21	(h) The Commission may, at any time upon a showing of prejudice by the objecting party:
22	
23	(1) Prohibit the testimony of any witness or the introduction of any documentary evidence that has not been disclosed pursuant to subsection (f); or
24	to subsection (f); or
25	(2) Continue any meeting or hearing as necessary to mitigate any prejudice.
26	(i) The complainant will present all facts and information in the
27	Bureau report, if any, and the results of the Bureau's background investigation, and the basis for any recommendation, if the Bureau filed one with the Commission according to Business and Professions Code
28	section 19868, to enable the Commission to make an informed decision