

GAMING POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

2399 GATEWAY OAKS DRIVE, SUITE 220

SACRAMENTO, CA 95852-4231

(916) 263-0700 · FAX (916) 263-0499

WWW.CGCC.CA.GOV

**MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 25, 2015
GAMING POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING**

OPEN SESSION

1. Call to Order and Welcome – Tina Littleton, Executive Director

Executive Director Tina Littleton called the February 25, 2015, meeting of the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee (GPAC), to order at 1:40 p.m.

2. Roll Call of Advisory Committee Members

Roll call of the Committee Members was taken. Kermit Schayltz, Robert Jacobson, Darrell Miers, Joy Harn, James Smith, and Frank Louie were present. Francis Asuncion and Yolonda Marrow were present as proxy for Stacey Luna-Baxter who could not be present; and, Jesse Crawford arrived late. Brent Meyers and Leonard Powell were absent.

Staff Participating: Tina Littleton, Executive Director and R. Todd Vlaanderen, Chief Counsel.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes from September 11, 2014.

Joy Harn moved to approve the September 11, 2014 meeting minutes. Kermit Schayltz seconded the motion and by consensus the Committee Members approved the minutes.

4. Update and Discussion of Projects:

- B. Surveillance Requirements for Video Clarity and Format (Sub-committee Members: Darrell Miers and Jesse Crawford)

Darrell Miers and Jesse Crawford reported on minimum requirements for video surveillance for cardrooms. Mr. Miers talked about the capability and clarity issues for reading dice and requirements of other jurisdictions on the topic. Mr. Miers indicated that he would contact the industry representatives to find out what issues, if any, they are experiencing in regards to compliance with the existing regulation.

Kermit Schayltz suggested having experts come to the next GPAC meeting to talk to the members on issues concerning cardroom surveillance. Both Darrell Miers and

Kermit Schayltz indicated that they would attempt to arrange to have an expert speak at the next GPAC meeting.

A. Review of Application and Supplemental Forms (Sub-committee Members: Joy Harn and Stacey Luna-Baxter)

Yolonda Marrow, Francis Asuncion, and Joy Harn reported on the sub-committees progress. Ms. Marrow presented GPAC with copies of draft forms: Gambling Establishment Key Employee or TP/GB Supervisor Supplemental Information (BGC-047), and Work Permit, Player, or Other Employee Supplemental Information (BGC-APP 051). Ms. Marrow indicated that they have received comments from the Commission concerning the forms and asked that GPAC member also review the forms.

There were discussions concerning combining the two forms and including instructions that indicate which portions of the form must be completed depending on the type of license the applicant is applying for. The sub-committee indicated that they would review the forms to determine whether it would be feasible to combine them.

5. New Project:
A. GPAC Selection Process

Executive Director Tina Littleton suggested that the members consider reviewing the selection process for GPAC. Robert Jacobson and Jesse Crawford volunteered to co-chair the project. It was decided that the sub-committee would work on developing a process for reappointment, resignation, and removal of GPAC members.

6. Open Discussion of Any New Items

James Smith suggested that GPAC look at CCR section 12462 concerning training requirements. He indicated that the requirement for record keeping pertaining to responsible gaming training of employees could be simplified and reduced, and further revised to allow for electronic records. It was determined that this regulation was part of a rulemaking package that the Commission held a workshop on recently and that comments could be provided to the Commission during the rulemaking process. James Smith and Robert Jacobson indicated that they would work together to provide the Commission comments for revising the regulation during the rulemaking process.

James Smith discussed the use of player club and electronic drawings. He indicated that paper tickets are time consuming and would like the drawings to be done electronically. Robert Jacobson indicated that it would be dramatically less labor intensive if they were allowed to handle the drawings electronically. Executive Director Littleton indicated that this is not under the Commission's authority since the game approval is under the Bureau's authority. Therefore, it is not a project that

would go before the Commission for consideration and suggested the group have their discussion directly with the Bureau.

7. Scheduling Next Meeting/Discussion Items for Next Agenda

It was decided that the next GPAC meeting would be scheduled on May 14, 2015 at 1:30 p.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 2:22 p.m.