GAMING POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

2399 GATEWAY OAKS DRIVE, SUITE 220 SACRAMENTO, CA 95852-4231 (916) 263-0700 · FAX (916) 263-0499 <u>WWW.CGCC.CA.GOV</u>

MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 22, 2018 GAMING POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

OPEN SESSION

1. Call to Order and Welcome – Stacey Luna Baxter, Executive Director

Executive Director Stacey Luna Baxter called the February 22, 2018, meeting of the Gaming Policy Advisory Committee (GPAC), to order at 1:30 p.m.

2. Roll Call of GPAC Members

Roll call of the Committee Members was taken. Tom Hallinan, Joy Harn, William Liu, Darrell Miers, Yolanda Morrow, Troy Murphy, Keith Sharp, and Art Van Loon were present. Robert Jacobson and Haig Kelegian, Jr. were absent.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes from January 11, 2018

Art Van Loon moved to approve the January 11, 2018 meeting minutes. Joy Harn seconded the motion and by consensus the Committee Members approved the minutes.

- 4. Review of Proposed Regulations
 - A. Proposed Changes to Incident Reporting Requirements

Joshua Rosenstein presented to GPAC proposed regulatory changes to the incident reporting requirements. Below is a summary of the sections that were discussed during the meeting.

Section 12395(a)(1) Yolanda Morrow expressed concerns with the proposed language indicating that this section may limit access of third-party providers to the cardrooms surveillance recordings, which may be provided for in their contracts with the cardrooms.

Section 12395(a)(3) Troy Murphy expressed concerns that this section was confusing in that it could be interpreted that the security officer was responsible to insure that a licensee filed a report.

Section 12395(a)(3) Keith Sharp expressed concerns with this sections reporting requirements for adjacent spaces such as parking lots, restaurants, or hotels. Keith

stated that this requirement may be unmanageable by the licensee because they may not own or have control over these properties.

Section 12395(a)(3) Joy Harn commented that the language: "or that was committed by any licensee key employee, work permittee, TPPPS owner..." should be stricken. She indicated that the requirement to report incidents of violations of employees outside of work was too onerous.

Section 12395(a)(3)(E) Yolanda Morrow stated that she does not see the value in notifying the Bureau of thefts that occur in the hotel.

Section 12395(a)(3)(J) Nate DaValle stated that the Bureau did not necessarily want to be notified of bomb threats or other incidents that occur in adjacent hotels and not the gambling establishment. Stacey Luna Baxter suggested having staff separate and categorize crimes in the regulations.

Section 12395(a)(3)(F) Keith Sharp asked for clarity from the Bureau of when they would want to be informed of a bodily injuring assault, such as for example would they want to know if a patron were to throw a rack of chips at an individual. Stacey Luna Baxter asked the Bureau to provide some guidance as to what types of injury or assault they would want reported to them. After much discussion it was agreed the language would be amended to require filing a report with the Bureau when law enforcement was called.

Section 12395(a)(3)(G) Keith Sharp indicated that the language concerning a sexual act was too broad. Yolanda Morrow suggested changing "sexual act" to "sex crime."

Section 12395(a)(3)(K) Keith Sharp stated that cardrooms are required to file a Suspicious Activity Report (SAR) when money laundering is suspected, which is required under section 12395(a)(7). Yolanda Morrow indicated that the time-frame for filing a SAR was 30 days which is significantly longer than that of an incident report which is five days. She stated that the 30-day filing period allowed too much time to elapse for the Bureau to begin an investigation.

Section 12395(a)(4) Josh Rosenstein explained that this section requires cardrooms to file a quarterly incident report for crimes such as petty theft that were not required to be reported under the 5-day incident reporting provisions. Yolanda Morrow stated that she did not think this was necessary because this information is captured in the quarterly calls for service that the Bureau requests from local law enforcement. The Bureau was asked to provide numbers of reports from cardrooms for petty thefts where there are no calls for service. After much discussion it was decided to provide three different options that would include keeping the 5-day report requirement, filing quarterly reports, or completely removing this section.

Section 12395(a)(7) Keith Sharp suggested editing this section to require that just a copy of the actual SAR report be filed with the Bureau. Keith indicated that the complete file can be quit voluminous and the Bureau can request the entire record if they determined it was needed. The Bureau indicated that, if permissible, they would

accept the filing of a copy of SAR report instead of an incident report but since it was determined that the Bureau could not provide a secure portal for emailing the documents it was decided that they would require an incident report to be filed that included the narrative portion on the SAR report.

- 5. Update and Discussion of Current Projects
 - A. GPAC Outreach (Sub-Committee Members: Art Van Loon and Tom Hallinan)

Art Van Loon suggested updating the brochure to include the GPAC email address. Discussion on the outreach project included doing a mass mailing to all cardrooms and third-party providers. Stacey Luna Baxter asked the sub-committee members to draft up a letter that can be sent out. She also stated that the Commission would assist in doing the mass mailing.

B. Regulatory Clean-Up (Sub-Committee Members: Joy Harn and Keith Sharp)

Stacey Luna Baxter indicated that she thought that there were a couple of sections that the sub-committee was going to further revise. Joy Harn stated that they would review their notes and report back to GPAC at the next meeting.

C. Marketing Group Review (Sub-Committee members: Keith Sharp and Yolanda Morrow)

Keith Sharp provided draft language of the definition for a Market Group. Yolanda Morrow indicated that the Bureau wants the definition to be broader and include third-party providers. Keith asked the Bureau to provide some suggestions on the definition language so that they can bring this back to GPAC with additional provision for discussion at the next meeting.

6. Discussion of Future Agenda Item Topics

None.

7. Next Meeting

It was decided that the next GPAC meeting would be scheduled on April 12, 2018, at 1:30 p.m.

8. Public Comment

None.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.