## Control of the second s

		to the term of the born best to
.1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	2012 JUN -7 PM 1: 28
· 2	Sara J. Drake	
3	RONALD E. DIEDRICH	CONTROL COMMISSION
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 95146	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-1043	
7	Fax: (916) 327-2319 E-mail: Ronald.Diedrich@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for the Complainant	
9_	BEFOR	RE THE
10	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING	CONTROL COMMISSION
11	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
12		
13		•
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
15	JOHN PARK, sole shareholder of	BGC Case No.: BGC-HQ2011-00004AL
16	THE SILVER F, INC., owner of, LOTUS CASINO.	(Lotus Casino)
17	(010 G/ 1/ DI 1	BGC Case No.: BGC-HQ2011-00003AL (Cordova Restaurant and Casino)
18	6010 Stockton Blvd. Sacramento, California 95824	
19	LICENSE NUMBER GEOW-003312,	OAH No.:
20		
21	and	ACCUSATION
22	JOHN PARK, sole member of	
23	CAL-PAC RANCHO CORDOVA, LLC, owner of, CORDOVA RESTAURANT AND	
	CASINO.	
24 25	2801 Prospect Park Drive Rancho Cordova, California 95670	
	,	
26	LICENSE NUMBER GEOW-003222,	
27	Respondent.	
28		_
		1

Accusation

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Martin Horan IV (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Acting Chief of the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau).
- 2. At all times relevant herein John Park (Respondent) held a state gambling license, California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003312, as the sole shareholder of The Silver F, Inc., California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003313, owner of Lotus Casino (Lotus), California State Gambling License Number GEGE-001321. Respondent's license will expire on September 30, 2012, unless renewed.
- 3. At all times relevant herein Respondent held a state gambling license, California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003222, as the sole member of Cal-Pac Rancho Cordova, LLC, California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003228, owner of Cordova Restaurant & Casino (Cordova), California State Gambling License Number GEGE-001300.<sup>2</sup> Respondent's license will expire on October 31, 2012, unless renewed.
- 4. At all times relevant herein Respondent held a state gambling license, California State Gambling License Number TPOW-000073, as the sole shareholder of Network Management Group, Inc. (NMG), State Gambling License Number TPPP-000002, a third-party provider of proposition player services.<sup>3</sup> Respondent's license will expire on August 31, 2012, unless renewed.
- 5. At all times relevant herein Respondent, as sole shareholder of NMG, was an owner of PT Gaming, LLC (PTG), State Gambling License Number TPPP-000004, a third-party provider of proposition player services. Until June 7, 2011, NMG/Respondent was an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent, as the sole shareholder of The Silver F, Inc., is required to hold a state gambling license. (See Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 19852 & 19883.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Respondent, as the sole member of Cal-Pac Rancho Cordova, LLC, is required to hold a state gambling license. (See Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 19852 & 19890.5.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Respondent, as the sole shareholder of NMG, is required to hold a state gambling license. (See Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 19852 & 19984.)

1	unlicensed and/or unregistered owner of PTG. On June 7, 2011, NMG/Respondent became a	
2	licensed and/or registered owner of PTG, State Gambling License Number TPOW-000464.4	
3	NMG/Respondent's license and/or registration will expire on February 28, 2013, unless	
4	renewed.	
5	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
6	6. Business and Professions Code section 19811 provides, in part:	
7 8	(b) Jurisdiction, including jurisdiction over operation and concentration, and supervision over gambling establishments in this state and over all persons or things having to do with the operations of gambling establishments is vested in the commission. <sup>5</sup>	
9		
10	7. Business and Professions Code section 19823 provides:	
11 12	(a) The responsibilities of the commission include, without limitation, all of the following:	
13	(1) Assuring that licenses, approvals, and permits are not issued to, or	
14	held by, unqualified or disqualified persons, or by persons whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health,	
15	safety, or welfare.	
16	(2) Assuring that there is no material involvement, directly or indirectly, with a licensed combling operation, or the ownership or	
17		
18	whose operations are conducted in a manner that is inimical to the public health, safety, or welfare.	
19	8. Business and Professions Code section 19824 provides, in part:	
20	The commission shall have all powers necessary and proper to enable	
21	it fully and effectually to carry out the policies and purposes of this	
22.	chapter[ <sup>6</sup> ], including, without limitation, the power to do all of the following:	
23		
24	<sup>4</sup> NMG/Respondent, as a funding source for PTG, is required to be licensed and/or registered as an owner of PTG. (See Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 19852 & 19984; Cal. Code. Regs. tit. 4, §§ 12200, subds. (b)(10) & (b)(16), & 12201.)	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	<sup>5</sup> Hereinafter, "commission" refers to the California Gambling Control Commission. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19805, subd. (e).)	
27	<sup>6</sup> Hereinafter, "chapter" refers to Business and Professions Code division 8, chapter 5	
28	(commencing with section 19800), also known as the Gambling Control Act.	

(b) For any cause deemed reasonable by the commission . . . limit, condition, or restrict any license, permit, or approval, or impose any fine upon any person licensed or approved. The commission may condition, restrict, discipline, or take action against the license of an individual owner endorsed on the license certificate of the gambling enterprise whether or not the commission takes action against the license of the gambling enterprise.

\* \* \*

- (d) Take actions deemed to be reasonable to ensure that no ineligible, unqualified, disqualified, or unsuitable persons are associated with controlled gambling activities.
- 9. Business and Professions Code section 19826 provides, in part:

The department [7] shall have all of the following responsibilities:

\* \* \*

(c) To investigate suspected violations of this chapter or laws of this state relating to gambling, including any activity prohibited by Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 3190 or Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 330) of Title 9 of Part 1 of the Penal Code.

\* \* \*

- (e) To initiate, where appropriate, disciplinary actions as provided in this chapter. In connection with any disciplinary action, the department may seek restriction, limitation, suspension, or revocation of any license or approval, or the imposition of any fine upon any person licensed or approved.
- 10. Business and Professions Code section 19930 provides, in part:
  - (b) If, after any investigation, the department is satisfied that a license, permit, finding of suitability, or approval should be suspended or revoked, it shall file an accusation with the commission in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of title 2 of the Government Code.
  - (c) In addition to any action that the commission may take against a license, permit, finding of suitability, or approval, the commission may

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Hereinafter, "department" refers to the Department of Justice. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19805, subd. (h).)

1	also require the payment of fines or penalties. However, no fine imposed	
2	shall exceed twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) for each separate violation of any provision of this chapter or any regulation adopted thereunder.	
3.		
4	11. California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12554 provides, in part:	
5	(a) Upon the filing with the Commission of an accusation by the	
6	Bureau recommending revocation, suspension, or other discipline of a holde of a license, registration, permit, finding of suitability, or approval, the	
7	Commission shall proceed under Chapter 5 (commencing with section	
8	11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.	
9	COST RECOVERY	
10	12. Business and Professions Code section 19930 provides, in part:	
11	(d) In any case in which the administrative law judge recommends	
12	that the commission revoke, suspend, or deny a license, the administrative law judge may, upon presentation of suitable proof, order the licensee or	
13	applicant for a license to pay the department the reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.	
14	* * *	
15		
16	<ul><li>(f) For purposes of this section, "costs" include costs incurred for any of the following:</li></ul>	
17	(1) The investigation of the case by the department.	
18	(2) The preparation and prosecution of the case by the Office of	
19	the Attorney General.	
20		
21	SPECIFIC STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
22	13. Penal Code section 330 provides, in part:	
23	Every person who deals, plays, or carries on, opens, or causes to be	
24	opened, or who conducts, either as owner or employee, whether for hire or not, any banking game played with cards, for money, checks,	
25	credit, or other representative of value, is guilty of a misdemeanor	
26		
27		

14. Penal Code section 330.11 provides, in part:

"Banking game" or "banked game" does not include a controlled game if the published rules of the game . . . preclude the house, another entity, a player, or an observer from maintaining or operating as a bank during the course of the game. . . . The house shall not occupy the player-dealer position.

- 15. Business and Professions Code section 19851 provides in part:
  - (a) The owner of a gambling enterprise shall apply for and obtain a state gambling license.
- 16. Business and Professions Code section 19920 provides:

It is the policy of the State of California to require that all establishments wherein controlled gambling is conducted in this state be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare of the residents of the state. The responsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the owner licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable by the commission or by local government shall constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action.

17. Business and Professions Code section 19922 provides:

No owner licensee shall operate a gambling enterprise in violation of any provision of this chapter or any regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter.

18. Business and Professions Code section 19984 provides, in part:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a licensed gambling enterprise may contract with a third party for the purpose of providing proposition player services at a gambling establishment, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) ... [I]n no event shall a gambling enterprise or the house have any interest, whether direct or indirect, in funds wagered, lost, or won.
- (b) The commission shall establish reasonable criteria for, and require the licensure and registration of, any person or entity that provides proposition players services to gambling establishments pursuant to this section, including owners, supervisors, and players. ...

1	19. California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12200 provides in part:
2	(b) As used in this chapter: (10) "Funding source" means any person that provides
3 4	financing, including but not limited to loans, advances, any other form of credit, chips, or any other representation or thing of value to an owner-registrant of owner-licensee, other than
5	individual registrant under subsection (d) of Section 12201 or individual licensees.
6	
7	* * *
8	(16) "Owner" includes all of the following:
9	* * *
10	(C) Any funding source.
11	20. California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12200.7 provides in part:
12	(b) Each proposition player contract shall specifically require all of
13	the following to be separately set forth at the beginning of the contract in the following order:
14	* * *
15	(11) That a magistrant on licenses many not anary de
16	(11) That a registrant or licensee may not provide proposition player services in a gambling establishment for
17	which the registrant holds a state gambling license, key employee license, or work permit.
18	employee needse, or work permit.
19	* * *
20	(e) A proposition player contract shall be consistent with the provisions of Business and Professions Code section 19984,
	subdivision (a), prohibiting a gambling establishment or the house
21	from having any interest, whether direct or indirect, in funds wagered, lost, or won. No proposition player contract shall be approved that
22	would permit the house to bank any game in the gambling establishment.
23	estaonsnment.
24	21. California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12200.9 provides in part:
25	(a)(1) Proposition player services shall not be provided except
26	pursuant to a written proposition player contract
27	
28	

# 

## 

## 

. 28

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Banked Games at Lotus – Penal Code sections 330 & 330.11)

22. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about September 20, 2010, through on or about August 1, 2011, Respondent offered for play banking games or banked games at Lotus in violation of Penal Code sections 330 and 330.11. Such prohibited conduct occurred every time an employee of PTG occupied the player-dealer position and accepted the bank, in that Respondent as owner of both Lotus and PTG was thereby directly or indirectly operating as the house bank or player-dealer in each such hand that was played.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Banked Games at Cordova – Penal Code sections 330 & 330.11)

23. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about February 1, 2010, through on or about August 1, 2011, Respondent offered for play banking games or banked games at Cordova in violation of Penal Code sections 330 and 330.11. Such prohibited conduct occurred every time an employee of PTG occupied the player-dealer position and accepted the bank, in that Respondent as owner of both Cordova and PTG was thereby directly or indirectly operating as the house bank or player-dealer in each such hand that was played.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Impermissible Interest in Funds Wagered, Lost or Won at Lotus)

24. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about September 20, 2010, through on or about August 1, 2011, Respondent had a direct or indirect interest in the funds wagered, lost, or won at Lotus in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19984, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 12200.7, subdivision (e), and 12200.9, subdivision (a)(1). Such prohibited conduct occurred every time an employee of PTG occupied the player-dealer position and accepted the bank, in that Respondent as owner of both Lotus and

PTG thereby had a direct or indirect interest in the funds wagered, lost, or won in each such hand that was played.

## **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Impermissible Interest in Funds Wagered, Lost or Won at Cordova)

25. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about February 1, 2010, through on or about August 1, 2011, Respondent had a direct or indirect interest, in the funds wagered, lost, or won at Cordova in violation of Business and Professions Code section 19984, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 12200.7, subdivision (e), and 12200.9, subdivision (a)(1). Such prohibited conduct occurred every time an employee of PTG occupied the player-dealer position and accepted the bank, in that Respondent as owner of both Cordova and PTG thereby had a direct or indirect interest in the funds wagered, lost, or won in each such hand that was played.

### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Impermissibly Providing Proposition Player Services at a Gambling Establishment for which Respondent Held a State Gambling License - Lotus)

26. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about September 20, 2010, through on or about August 1, 2011, Respondent impermissibly provided proposition player services at Lotus, a gambling establishment for which he held a state gambling license, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 12200.7, subdivision (b)(11), and 12200.9, subdivision (a)(1). PTG, of which Respondent was an owner, provided proposition player services at Lotus, which Respondent also owned.

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Impermissibly Providing Proposition Player Services at a Gambling Establishment for which Respondent Held a State Gambling License - Cordova)

27. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about February 1, 2010, through on or about

6

9 10

11 12

14

15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

August 1, 2011, Respondent impermissibly provided proposition player services at Cordova, a gambling establishment for which he held a stage gambling license in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 4, sections 12200.7, subdivision (b)(11), and 12200.9, subdivision (a)(1). PTG, of which Respondent was an owner, provided proposition player services at Cordova, which Respondent also owned.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unlicensed and/or Unregistered Ownership of a Proposition Player Service – PTG)

28. Respondent's licenses are subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 19920 and 19922, in that from on or about February 1, 2010, through on or about June 6, 2011, Respondent was an unlicensed and/or unregistered owner of PTG in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 19851, subdivision (a), and 19984, subdivision (b), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 12200, subdivisions (b)(10) and (b)(16)(C).

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Commission issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003312 issued to John Park, as the sole shareholder of The Silver F, Inc., California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003313, owner of Lotus Casino, California State Gambling License Number GEGE-0013;
- 2. Revoking or suspending California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003222 issued to John Park, as the sole member of Cal-Pac Rancho Cordova, LLC, California State Gambling License Number GEOW-003228, owner of Cordova Restaurant & Casino, California State Gambling License Number GEGE-001300;
- 3. Fining John Park twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19930, subdivision (c), for each and every separate violation of any provision of the Gambling Control Act or any regulation adopted thereunder found to have occurred;

- 4. Awarding Complainant the costs of investigation and the costs of bringing this Accusation before the Commission, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19930, subdivisions (d) and (f), in a sum according to proof; and
  - 5. Taking such other and further action as the Commission may deem appropriate.

Dated: <u>June 1</u>, 2012

MARTIN HORAN IV, Acting Chief

Bureau of Gambling Control California Department of Justice